Final Pamplico Stormwater Study

For



South Carolina Office of Resilience

September 2022

Prepared by: *Kimley-Horn 802 Gervais Street, Suite 201 Columbia, SC 29201*

Kimley-Horn Project No. 014580000 © Kimley-Horn

Contents

| Exe | cutive Summary | 3 |
|-------|--|-----|
| 1.0 | Introduction | 4 |
| 2.0 | Methodology and Assumptions | 6 |
| | 2.1 Sub-Basin Delineation | 6 |
| | 2.2 Hydrology/Hydraulic Calculations | 7 |
| | 2.3 Level of Service: | 8 |
| | 2.4 Opinions of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC) | 8 |
| | 2.5 Scoring Matrix | 9 |
| | 2.6 Summary of Alternatives | .13 |
| 3.0 F | Project Alternatives | 15 |
| | 3.1 Priority Area 1 | .15 |
| | 3.2 Priority Area 2 | .17 |
| | 3.3 Priority Area 3 | 21 |
| | 3.4 Priority Area 4 | 23 |
| | 3.5 Priority Area 5 | 27 |
| | 3.6 Priority Area 6 | .33 |
| | 3.7 Priority Area 7 | .35 |
| | 3.8 Low Impact Projects | .36 |
| 4.0 | Low to Moderate Income (LMI) Communities Assessment | .37 |
| 5.0 | Recommended Projects and Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) | 38 |
| | 5.1 Recommended Projects | .38 |
| | 5.2 Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) | .38 |
| | | |



APPENDIX A – GENERAL EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1 - Data Collection and Public Input

Exhibit 2 – Subcatchment Identification

APPENDIX B – INVENTORY TABLES AND SUFFICIENCY TABLES/EXHIBIT

Table 3. Inventory of Existing NodesTable 4. Inventory of Existing PipesTable 5. Inventory of Existing DitchesTable 6. Pipe Crossings SufficiencyTable 7. Closed System SufficiencyTable 8. Open Channels SufficiencyTable 9. Node SufficiencyExhibit 3 – Sufficiency Analysis Results

APPENDIX C – PROJECT ALTERNATIVES EXHIBITS

- Exhibits 4-11 Priority Areas Alternatives
- Exhibit 12 Low Impact Retrofit Opportunities

APPENDIX D – RECOMMENDED PROJECTS EXHIBITS

Exhibits 13-17 - Recommended Projects

APPENDIX E – INDIVIUAL PROJECT SCORING MATRICES AND OPCCs

APPENDIX F – BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS (BCA) REPORTS

Attachments

Attachment 1 – Existing Conditions Survey



Executive Summary

The Town of Pamplico experiences flooding during relatively minor storm events attributed to minimally sloping topography and undersized/failing infrastructure. The South Carolina Office of Resilience (SCOR) contracted with Kimley-Horn (KH) to analyze areas of concentrated flooding and determine existing infrastructure sufficiency. SAM, LLC surveyed existing infrastructure in Spring 2022 and obtained photos for visual inspection and elevation shots of inverts and rims. The analysis was conducted for seven (7) priority areas that were established based on citizen flooding complaints/questionnaires, Town staff input, and public meeting discussions. Existing conditions sufficiency was set based on if existing infrastructure (culverts/closed systems/ditches) met Levels of Service (LOS) guidelines which were determined by KH with guidance from various coastal communities' stormwater design manuals. The hydrologic and hydraulic analysis showed 85% of pipes and 41% of ditches to be insufficient, based on linear feet (LF). Undersized pipes made up the majority of insufficient pipes, greater than 90%, as opposed to blockage or accumulation of sediment. Proposed project alternatives were then determined within each priority area to alleviate flooding and improve sufficiency. The first alternative for each priority area upsized all existing insufficient infrastructure to meet LOS and matched existing pipe and ditch alignments. Other project alternatives were developed that created new alignments and established new flow pathways and outfalls. In some cases, an alternative reduced the project area to streets and properties with the most extreme reported flooding. A project scoring matrix was applied to each project alternative, calculating a preliminary selection criteria (PSC) score that takes into account community disruption, permitting, operation and maintenance, and easement effort, and a project performance criteria (PPC) score that factors in civic impact and flood mitigation. An opinion of probable construction cost (OPCC) was estimated for each alternative and that cost, along with the aggregate PSC and PPC score, were used to rank the project alternatives. The 5 highest ranking projects were found to be in priority areas 1-5 and were classified as recommended projects. A benefit-cost analysis (BCA) was conducted for each recommended project that calculated the economic value for benefits by factoring in avoided future costs/losses such as physical damage and loss of service/function and weighed those against the cost of the project.

| | | Project Score (out of 20) | OPCC | BCA |
|-------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------|------|
| PA 5 | ALT 3 | 16.3 | \$ 1,022,300 | 2.10 |
| PA 1 | ALT 2 | 12.45 | \$ 563,800 | 5.71 |
| PA 2 | ALT 3 | 12.9 | \$ 305,700 | 2.11 |
| PA 4 | ALT 3 | 6.95 | \$ 2,534,300 | 0.74 |
| PA 3 | ALT 2 | 8.85 | \$ 789,900 | 0.14 |



1.0 Introduction

The Town of Pamplico (Town) is located in a low-lying area on the eastern side of Florence County, South Carolina and has a recorded population of 1,052 according to the 2021 census. Over the years, flooding from minor storm events, 2-year and 5-year storms, has been a challenge to many areas of the Town. Rain events can flood roadways – causing them to become impassable - and even damage homes and businesses.

In an effort to combat this challenge, the South Carolina Office of Resilience (SCOR) contracted with Kimley-Horn and Associates (KH) in July 2021 to perform a Comprehensive Stormwater Study to identify flooding issues, conduct an assessment of the existing stormwater system, develop and prioritize projects, and establish an implementation strategy for projects to mitigate against future flooding in the Town. KH followed the process summarized below and detailed in this document to meet SCOR's specified goals:

- *Public involvement* was one of the primary focuses of the project. A detailed public involvement and outreach plan was developed that included an online questionnaire/survey, mailers, and a public meeting.
 - The online questionnaires/surveys went live and mailers were sent ahead of the public meeting. The questionnaire/survey asked for information regarding history, frequency, location, and severity of flooding and erosion that has occurred on their property and within the neighborhood on neighboring properties and roads.
 - 10 parcels completed the questionnaire and 19 total parcels were identified as having reported issues either by a recorded survey, mentioned as an accompaniment with a recorded survey, or discussed at the public meeting.
 - The public meeting was organized as an open house at the volunteer fire department (201 River Road) and held on February 8, 2022.
 - 7 Priority Areas were established utilizing the knowledge of historic flooding, questionnaire/survey results, public meeting input, and Pamplico Staff input.
- Field reconnaissance of the 7 Priority Areas was completed by KH in 2021 and professional survey was completed by SAM, LLC during the months of March and May 2022. Survey included visual inspection of infrastructure (pipes, inlets, ditches, etc.), pipe extents, and specific elevation data for inverts and rims. No pipe video or confined space investigation was conducted. Surveyed data shown on Exhibit 1 in Appendix A and sealed survey drawings are included in Attachment 1.
- *Engineering analysis* was conducted on the problem areas identified above. The analysis included hydrologic analysis to predict the quantity of storm water runoff, and hydraulic analysis to predict capacity sufficiency of the drainage infrastructure.
- *Alternative analysis* was completed and conceptual improvements were designed for insufficient drainage infrastructure.
- *Prioritization* was completed for the alternatives by creating a matrix and corresponding point system. Priority was placed on a number of parameters including community disruption, land acquisition, flood mitigation, and opinion of probable cost (OPC).





Figure 1. Town Priority Areas



2.0 Methodology and Assumptions

2.1 Sub-Basin Delineation

Based on priority area establishment, field work, and 2020 USGS LiDAR of the Savannah and Pee Dee river basins, the Town was divided into seven (7) sub-watersheds. The sub-watersheds are associated with the established priority areas and terminate at a unique outfall, with the exception of Priority Areas 4 and 5 sharing an outfall. Please reference **Exhibit 2** in **Appendix A** for names and locations of the sub-watersheds.



Figure 2. Town Sub-basins



2.2 Hydrology/Hydraulic Calculations

Provided the Florence County Stormwater Manual only provides guidance for stormwater as it pertains to land disturbing activities and compliance with the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), a methodology for hydrologic computations was pulled from nearby coastal counties with established stormwater design manuals (Georgetown and Horry County) and SCDOT guidelines.

The following guidelines were followed for the hydrologic analysis of the sub-basins:

- Runoff calculated by SCS Curve Number method
 - Georgetown County and Horry County stormwater manuals specify the SCS method may be used for drainage areas with no cited minimum acreage, only Horry County specifies a maximum area of 2,000 acres for this method
 - Rainfall duration of 24-hours, Type III rainfall distribution with a 6-minute time increment and peaking factor of 484
 - Rainfall intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves from SCDOT, specifically the 2019 updated values cited in Hydraulic Design Bulletin No. 2019-2
 - Rainfall depth from NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 3
 - SCDOT hydraulic design manual specifies using either NOAA's values or SCDHEC's published rainfall depths, the most recent version being NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 2 (2005)
 - Existing conditions analysis utilized the SCS rainfall depth as shown and future conditions analysis factored in a 20% increase to existing conditions rainfall depth per guidance from other coastal watershed studies
 - Curve Numbers (CN) from TR-55
 - Soil Group based on NRCS data
 - o Time of Concentration (Tc) based on TR-55 methods
- Drainage Areas (DA) and Tc based on 2020 USGS LiDAR and supplemented with field reconnaissance and Google Maps-street view feature.
 - DA and Tc remained the same for existing and future conditions
- CN values based on land cover information from the national land cover database published in 2019 by the Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics (MRLC) Consortium.
- No detention in system is assumed (e.g., no significant storage behind culverts or in pipe systems).
- A minimum Tc was established to be 10 minutes based on the minimal slopes observed across the watershed and their corresponding sheet flow travel times.
- A 1 acre threshold was applied to the DAs to merit a Tc calculation
 - DAs less than 1 acre were assigned the minimum Tc of 10 minutes.

Existing infrastructure consists of crossings, closed systems, and open channels and were surveyed between the months of March and May 2022 by SAM, LLC. Inverts were captured for each pipe along with size and descriptions of their condition such as level of sediment. Rim elevations were documented for each node within a closed system and elevation shots were taken to render a typical section of the open channels. Ditch typical sections were supplemented with 2020 LiDAR if survey didn't suffice. Photos were provided for the end treatments of pipes, nodes, and some channels. The surveyed information and photos are compiled in a geodatabase under the dataset titled "Existing_Infrastructure".



The results of the hydrologic analysis and surveyed information were then used to model the existing infrastructure and determine hydraulic sufficiency based on the Level of Service (LOS) guidelines specified below. Drainage infrastructure that did not meet the LOS were classified as insufficient and marked appropriately within their feature class in the "Existing_Infrastructure" geodatabase. Inventory and sufficiency tables for the existing infrastructure are provided in tables in **Appendix B** and displayed graphically on **Exhibit 3** in **Appendix B**. The models used in our analysis consisted of:

- StormCAD v10.03.04.53 for closed systems
- *HY-8 v7.60* for pipe crossings
- FlowMaster v10.03.00.03 for open channels
- GeoHEC-RAS v3.1.0.962 for floodplain
 - The Big Swamp Branch floodplain was modeled using GeoHEC-RAS in Priority Area 7. The effective FEMA model was obtained and the 100-yr flood results/water surface elevations were remapped through the priority area utilizing 2020 USGS LiDAR of the Savannah and Pee Dee river basins.

2.3 Level of Service:

A design Level of Service (LOS) was developed to determine the sufficiency of existing infrastructure within the seven (7) established priority areas. The design (LOS) for existing conditions utilized criteria found in nearby coastal counties (Georgetown and Horry County) and SCDOT guidelines. The following assumptions are made in defining the design LOS for existing conditions:

- The 25-year hydraulic grade line (HGL) shall be calculated for all closed storm sewer systems and remain below existing grade.
- Culverts under arterial and multi-lane collector roadways shall convey the 50-year storm event without overtopping the crown of the roadway.
 - Culverts under all other roadways and driveways* shall convey the 25-year event without overtopping the crown of the roadway.
 - *The town of Pamplico is a minimally sloping area with driveways matching grade with the connected roadway. Therefore, driveway pipes share the 25-year LOS guideline with local roadways provided the overtopped driveway would result in roadway flooding.
- Open channel systems shall convey the 25-year design storm event without overtopping the banks.

Project alternatives were developed for the 7 Priority Areas to achieve the minimum design LOS specified above. Alternative 1 of each Priority Area involved upsizing all insufficient existing infrastructure (closed systems/crossings/ditches) to achieve LOS and maintaining their existing alignment. Alternatives beyond Alternative 1, if any, for each Priority Area utilize elements of Alternative 1 and incorporate additional/revised alignments for proposed infrastructure to either achieve design LOS or a reduced design LOS, but improvement on existing sufficiency.

2.4 Opinions of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC)

For each alternative, KH developed an Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC) which included construction and planning/design/construction management costs. Easement cost was based on a percentage, 10%, of the construction costs subtotal. The easement cost is shown relatively low as it is assumed the majority of required easements will be donated by the



property owner. These estimates are based on conceptual designs, with limited to no survey or geotechnical information. Cost estimates are shown in 2022 dollars and adjustment for inflation should be accounted for based on implementation of projects.

2.5 Scoring Matrix

A scoring matrix was created to evaluate alternatives beyond their associated cost such as community impact and flood mitigation. The score is based on a Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC) and a Project Performance Criteria (PPC). The final score attached to an alternative is the addition of the PSC and PPC.

2.5.1 Preliminary Selection Criteria

- Permitting and Compliance
 - a) Definition: Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that will be required and from how many agencies.
 - b) Measurement: Qualitative
 - c) Scoring: Highest points were awarded to projects not expected to require permits. Point values will decline as the number of agencies requiring permits and the level of permitting complexity increases (Nationwide or Regional to SCDOT).
- Community Disruption
 - Residential Community Disruption
 - a) Definition: Adverse impacts to the ability of citizens to access their neighborhood or community assets as well as the nature (temporary/permanent) and duration of impacts.
 - b) Measurement: Qualitative
 - 1) Does the alternative inhibit or reduce points of ingress/egress to existing neighborhoods?
 - 2) What about community assets such as recreation areas, public libraries, churches, shopping centers, and government buildings?
 - c) Scoring: Higher points were awarded to alternatives that do not inhibit or reduce access to these facilities and/or have shorter construction durations, lower points were awarded for temporary impacts or longer construction durations, no points were awarded for permanent impacts.
 - Non-residential Community Disruption
 - a) Definition: Adverse impacts to the ability of business-owners, employees and clients to access their place of business or other non-residential facility, as well as the nature (temporary/permanent) and duration of impacts.
 - b) Measurement: Qualitative
 - 1) Does the alternative inhibit or reduce points of ingress/egress to existing commercial and industrial properties?
 - 2) Does the alternative affect the economic impact of the business?
 - c) Scoring: Higher points were awarded to alternatives that do not inhibit or reduce access to these facilities and/or have shorter construction durations, lower points were awarded for temporary impacts or longer construction durations, no points were awarded for permanent impacts.
- Operation and Maintenance



- a) Definition: O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure
- b) Measurement: Qualitative
 - 1) Does the alternative increase the methods of frequency of infrastructure maintenance beyond typical practices?
 - 2) Are there any specific areas in the system that will require special attention?
 - 3) Is sufficient access available for maintenance activities?
- c) Scoring: More points were awarded to alternatives with typical maintenance procedures and sufficient access, fewer points were awarded to alternatives with significant maintenance burdens and/or difficult access.
- Land Acquisition/Available Easements
 - a) Definition: The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative. Acquisitions may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property
 - b) Measurement: Qualitative
 - c) Scoring: Higher points were awarded to alternatives that require minimal land acquisition from publicly owned land, lower points were awarded for more extensive acquisition that is required from private land owners.

An example of the PSC scoring is provided below. PSC scoring matrices for each Priority Area alternative are provided in **Appendix E**.

| Kimley > Horn PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATTE MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 1 CRITERA DEFINITION VELONING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS SCORE W'S NOTES SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS SCORE W'S Permitting and Compliance Extent of environmental stafe/derial equiced and forn hour many different agencies Involves many and/or complex environmental stafe/derial agency approvals. Involves many and/or environmental stafe/derial agency approvals. Top 0.7 It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermitter stream/stream biffers and explored and forn hour approvals. Involves many and/or complex environmental stafe/derial agency approvals. Top 0.7 It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacts to complex environmental stafe/derial agency approvals. Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has sort construction and unation or impacts. Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has sort construction and unation or impacts. Temporarily impacts access to periodential facility and/or has longer Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has sort construction and/or has longer Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer Extent of environmental activities area and/or bubinesses anor hinhibit access or hinhibit access or hinhibit acces | | SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------|---|---|---|-------|------|--|--|--|
| Permitting and Compliance DEFINITION WEIGHT SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS SCORE W*S NOTES Permitting and Compliance Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals. that are required and from how many different agencies Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. Involves some and/or less state/federal agency approvals. Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. 7 0.7 It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream bifferen agency approvals. Residential Community Disruption Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community facility (0 points). Causes permanent impacts to access of environmental state/federal agency approvals. Des not inhibit access to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). 6 0.9 Temporarity impacts access to regionerod and we unstate access to residential streats and the dementary school along Hickory St. Non-Residential Community Disruption Adverse impacts to non-residential facility and duration of impacts. Causes permanent impacts to non-residential facility operation and extend longerity of points). Causes permanent impacts access of business or non- residential facility and duration. Des not impact non- residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. 6 0.6 6 0.6 0 0 Matequirements t | Kimley »Ho | Kimley »Horn PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN | | | | | | | | | |
| CRITERIA DEFINITION WEIGHT SCORM S CALL DESCRIPTIONS SCORE W'S NOTES Pemilting and Compliance Extent of environmental state/federal reguladory approvals. Involves same and/or less state/federal agency approvals. Involves same and/or less state/federal agency approvals. Involves same and/or less interfederal agency approvals. Involves same and/or less interfederal agency approvals. Involves same and/or less interfederal agency approvals. It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stratem bittens and wetands. Improvements will also require SCODT encroachment pemilt. Residential Community access to their neighborhood or community facility on community facility on points). Causes permanent impacts to access of points). Causes temporary impacts to access of highborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. 6 0.9 Temporarity impacts access to residential atteets to non-residential inhibits access of business, or non- residential facility on non-residential facility on construction duration. 0 6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>P</td> <td>RIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>RESILIENCE</td> | | | | P | RIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 1 | | | | RESILIENCE | | |
| Description Description Description Description Temporarily impacts access to a place of imports of the environmental state/federal agency approvals. Temporarily impacts access to a place of imports of the environmental state/federal agency approvals. Temporarily impacts access to a place of imports of impacts to non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. Causes permanent impacts to non-residential state/sta | CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPT | IONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES | | |
| Permitting and ComplianceExtent of environmental state/federal aguadory approvals.Involves samy and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals.Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals.Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals.Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals.Temporarity intermittent stream/stream buffers and wellands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit.Residential Community DisruptionAdverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community facility (0 prints).Causes permanent impacts to access or to access or ineighborhood or community facility (0 prints).Des not inhibit access community facility (0 prints).Des not inhibit access community facility (0 prints).Des not inhibit access community facility (0 prints).0.0 sTemporarity impacts residential access of to non-residential steets access or on- residential facility and/or has short construction duration.0.0 sTemporarity impacts access to presidential streets access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration.0.0 sTemporarity impacts access to presidential facility and/or has short construction duration.Operation and duration of impactsO&M requirements of the altemative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure of environmental streets access and could include proved infrastructure of environmental streets access and could include adcess of the altemative to maintain successful operation and e | | | | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | | | | | |
| Residential Community access to their neighborhood or community assets – includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts.Law cases permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points).Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points).Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration.60.9Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Hickory St, W 2nd Ave, W 1st Ave, and W Coleman Ave through 4 crossings.Non-Residential community assets – access to a place of business or other non- residential facility – includes the nature (temporary permanent) and duration of impacts.10%Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access or business, or non- residential facility and/or has longer construction duration.Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility - boes not impact to non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration.60.6Temporarily impacts access to residential facility and/or has short construction duration.Operation and Maintenance0&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longewity of the proposed infastructure.35%Involves significant maintenance parcels from private adqueres sind access and color duration10%Requires seme land acquisition (easements) form public and/or private and easy access.82.81.5Acquisition from multiple private land owners interporation maintenance and easy access.Dest per temporary maintenance proposed | Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. | | |
| Non-Residential Community DisruptionAdverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non- residential facility - includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts.Causes permanent impacts to non- residential facility access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points).Does not impact non- residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility and/or has longer construction duration.Does not impact non- residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration.Does not impact non- residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration.60.6Temporarily impacts access to business ealong S Walnut St and the elementary school along Hickory St.Operation and MaintenanceO&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infastructure.35%Involves significant maintenance access.Involves significant maintenance and easy access.82.82.8The acquisition / Available EasementsThe acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the altemative – may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property.30%Requires some land acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners.Requires some land acquisition private land owners.61.51.5Acquisistion form multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets – includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.9 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Hickory St, W 2nd Ave, W 1st Ave, and W Coleman Ave through 4 crossings. | | |
| Ogenetion and Maintenance O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. 35% Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. 8 2.8 Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance interace channels involve typical maintenance Land Acquisition/ Available Easements The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative – may require standard Available Easements 30% Requires extensive acquisition from private land owners. Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. 5 1.5 Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. TOTAL PSC SCORE 100% 100% 6.5/10 | Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non- residential facility – includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.6 | Temporarily impacts access to businesses along S Walnut St and the elementary school along Hickory St. | | |
| Land Acquisition/ Available EasementsThe acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative – may require standard Available EasementsThe acquisition (and that is requires to implementation of the acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners.Requires some land acquisition (easements) rom public and/or private land owners.Requires minimal land acquisition from public/lyprivately owned land.51.5Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements.TOTAL PSC SCORE100%100%06.5/10 | Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. | | |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE 100% 6.5/10 | Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative – may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 5 | 1.5 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | | |
| | TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 6. | 5/10 | | | |

Preliminary Selection Criteria Example (Priority Area 1 – ALT 1)



- 2.5.2 Project Performance Criteria
 - Flood Reports
 - a) Definition: Documented citizen complaints that are addressed by a particular project. The total number, frequency, history, and timing of complaints were considered. Timing is important to understand if the complaint only occurred during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane).
 - b) Measurement: Quantitative
 - c) Scoring: Points were awarded to projects that address issues described in documented questionnaire/survey responses. No points were rewarded to projects that don't.
 - Non-Structural Flood Mitigation:
 - a) Definition: Reduction in the frequency, depth, and/or duration of non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced on backyards/front yards and agricultural fields.
 - b) Measurement: Quantitative
 - c) Scoring: Points were awarded to projects that reduce flooding in these areas, no points were awarded to projects that don't.
 - Habitable Structure:
 - a) Definition: Reduction in frequency, depth, and/or duration of flooding experienced by habitable structures.
 - b) Measurement: Quantitative
 - c) Scoring: Points were awarded to projects that reduce flooding in these areas, no points were awarded to projects that don't.
 - Effects on Other Priority Areas
 - a) Level of improvement to upstream/downstream established Priority Areas attributed to the project.
 - b) Measurement: Quantitative
 - c) Scoring: Points were awarded to projects that reduce flooding in these areas, no points were awarded to projects that don't.



An example of the PPC scoring is provided below. PPC scoring matrices for each Priority Area alternative are provided in **Appendix E**.

| | SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------|--|--|---|--------|---|---|
| Kimley »Horn PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN | | | | | | | SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE | |
| | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | PRIORITY | AREA #1 - ALI | | 000055 | 14/*0 | NOTES |
| | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SC | ORING SCALE D | DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | w^s | NOTES |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project res If the project do | solves flood comp es not resolve an points | olaints, it receives 10 points. y flood reports, it receives 0 | 10 | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along W 2nd Ave. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | | | 2.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 10 | 1 | Resolves flooding reported in backyard by properties along W 2nd Ave. | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | | | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 10 | 1.5 | | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | 0 | | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | | | 4.5/10 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | TOTAL (| COMBINED SCORE PSC + PPC) | = | 11/20 | |

Project Performance Criteria Example (Priority Area 1 – ALT 1)



2.6 Summary of Alternatives

Table 1 below summarizes the proposed alternatives to improve the insufficient infrastructure identified in the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis. The summary includes the aggregate score (out of 20) of the PSC and PPC as well as the OPCC. Detailed descriptions of the alternatives along with their associated PSC and PPC score breakdown and OPCC line items are included in **Appendix E**.

| | | Project Score (out of 20) | OPCC | Project Description |
|--------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| PA 1 | ALT 1 | 11 | \$ 1,040,000 | Upsize all insufficient infrastructure within PA, primarily consists of 15"-36" RCP closed system along S Walnut St, 24"-42" RCP closed systems at intersection of W 2 nd Ave and Hickory St, and channel/crossing improvements behind properties along W 2 nd Ave. |
| | ALT 2 | 12.45 | \$ 563,800 | Upsize closed systems at intersection of W 2 nd Ave and Hickory S to 24"-42" RCP, and upsize channels/crossings behind properties along W 2 nd Ave. |
| | ALT 1 | 12.15 | \$ 509,900 | Upsize all insufficient infrastructure within PA, includes crossings and 30"-42" closed systems along Pamela Cr and upstream ditch improvements. |
| PA 2 | ALT 2 | 12 | \$ 510,900 | Upsize closed systems along Pamela Cr to 30"-42" RCP while also improving geometry, upstream ditches, and improve closed system geometry at intersection of Hickory St and Munn Ave while maintaining existing size. |
| | ALT 3 | 12.9 | \$ 305,700 | Upsize and improve geometry of northmost closed system on Pamela Cr to 36"-42" RCP and replace southmost closed system on Pamela Cr with a 30" RCP crossing. |
| D A 3 | ALT 1 | 8.8 | \$ 174,200 | Upsize all insufficient crossings, install crossing at intersection of E 1 st Ave and E 2 nd Ave, and grade more defined outlet channel into Barfields Old Mill Creek. |
| FAJ | ALT 2 | 8.85 | \$ 789,900 | Upsize insufficient crossings and install closed system of approximately 2,220 LF ranging in size from 15"- 24" RCP from the intersection of E 1 st Ave and E 2 nd Ave to River Rd. |
| PA 4 | ALT 1 | 6.2 | \$ 4,715,100 | Upsize all insufficient infrastructure within PA, primarily consists of a 7'x7' RCBC closed system on the lumber processing plant and terminates with a 7'x7' RCBC crossing into Big Swamp Branch Tributary floodplain. |
| | ALT 2 | 6.35 | \$ 6,262,100 | Upsize insufficient infrastructure and provide new alignment for 7'x7' RCBC lumber plant closed system by extending down E 6 th Ave by approximately 1,000 |

Table 1. Pamplico Priority Area Project Alternatives Summary



| | | | | LF and establishing a new outfall into Big Swamp Branch Tributary 1. Reduces hydrologic loading on infrastructure along N Walnut St. |
|----------|-------|-------|-----------------|--|
| | ALT 3 | 6.95 | \$ 2,534,300 | Upsize lumber plant closed system to 60" RCP and provide new alignment that extends down E 6 th Ave approximately 1,000 LF and establish new outfall into Big Swamp Branch Tributary 1. Reduces hydrologic loading on infrastructure along N Walnut St. |
| | ALT 1 | 16.25 | \$ 651,300 | Upsize all insufficient infrastructure, results in 48" RCP driveway crossings along E 3 rd Ave and 54" RCP crossings at the transition to PA 4. |
| DA 5 | ALT 2 | 15.9 | \$ 966,000 | Upsize all insufficient infrastructure and opting for a 48" RCP closed system along E 3 rd Ave of approximately 660 LF. |
| PAS | ALT 3 | 16.3 | \$ 1,022,300 | Installation of bypass line, approximately 1,420 LF ranging in size from 18"-42" RCP, extending from E 3 rd Ave to a new outfall south of River Rd. Reduces hydrologic loading on downstream infrastructure and permits 24" RCP driveway crossings along E 3 rd Ave to meet LOS. |
| PA 4 & 5 | ALT 4 | 13.95 | \$ 6,036,300 | Installation of bypass line, approximately 900 LF ranging in size from 42"-54" RCP, extending from E 3 rd Ave to E 5 th Ave. Reduces hydrologic loading on E 3 rd Ave, but flow is still directed towards PA 4 and therefore PA 4 improvements in the form of 7'x7' RCBC are included. |
| | ALT 1 | 7.65 | \$ 536,700 | Upsize all insufficient infrastructure, primarily consists of closed system along Vivian Rd and ditch/crossing between Quail Dr and Heidi Dr. |
| PA 6 | ALT 2 | 7.5 | \$ 954,600 | Upsize closed system along Vivian Rd and extend system down Heidi Dr to a new outfall and tail ditch into Big Swamp Branch floodplain. Extended closed system alleviates hydrologic loading on ditch/crossing between Quail Dr and Heidi Dr. |
| PA 7 | ALT 1 | 10.65 | \$ 162,400 | Upsize existing closed system along Forest Acres Dr and the upstream and downstream ditches. |

Additional alternatives are proposed for both PA 5 and PA 7 that are not included in **Table 1**. These alternatives involve purchasing properties experiencing the highest level of flooding within the priority area. These buyout alternatives were not scored given the parameters of the scoring matrix and their cost should be estimated by their most recent appraisal value, adjusted for inflation. They are represented as "Buyout Alternative" on their respective Priority Area Alternatives exhibits included in **Appendix C**. More details regarding the buyout alternatives are included in **Section 3**.





3.0 Project Alternatives

This section provides a general description of the priority area as well as a summary of the project scope for the proposed alternative. The individual PSC and PPC are provided along with their associated OPCC. Priority Area exhibits with graphic displays of the alternatives are provided in **Appendix C** and individual score breakdowns/detailed OPCCs are provided in **Appendix E**.

3.1 Priority Area 1

3.1.1 PA 1 – ALT 1

| Description and Scope | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading | | | | | |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. PA 1 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 4 included in Appendix C . | | | | | |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 6.5 | | | | | |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 4.5 | | | | | |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$1,040,000 | | | | | |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description | | | | | |
| PA4 PA4 PA5 PA5 PA5 PA5 PA5 PA5 PA5 PA5 | Priority Area 1 (PA 1) extends roughly from Steel St to W 3rd Ave. 4 parcels have reported flooding issues within the Priority Area and all are located where the sub-basin associated with PA 1 outfalls, along W 2nd Ave. The reported issues primarily cite backyard flooding. | | | | | |



3.1.2 PA 1 – ALT 2

| Description and Scope | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading | | | | | |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure in areas where there are documented flooding issues so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. Project scope is reduced from ALT 1 to the northern section of PA 1 that directly impact the properties along W 2 nd Ave. PA 1 – ALT 2 shown on Exhibit 4 included in | | | | | |
| | Appendix C. | | | | | |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.95 | | | | | |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 4.5 | | | | | |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$563,800 | | | | | |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description | | | | | |
| PA4 r m f m f m f m f m f m f m f m f m f m | Priority Area 1 (PA 1) extends roughly from Steel St to W 3rd Ave. 4 parcels have reported flooding issues within the Priority Area and all are located where the sub-basin associated with PA 1 outfalls, along W 2nd Ave. The reported issues primarily cite with backyard flooding. | | | | | |

3.1.3 PA 1 - Alternatives Sensitivity Analysis

ALT 1 upsizes all existing insufficient infrastructure so that 25-yr LOS, based on future conditions, is achieved across all pipes, nodes and ditches. A majority of the pipes (~80%), both closed system and crossings, in PA 1 are included in ALT 1 improvements and based on their proximity to roads, street flooding can be expected on storm events larger than the 25-yr event. Ditch improvements for ALT 1 are confined to the northern section of PA 1 located at the ultimate outfall of the of the subbasin and between Walnut St and Pine St. Backyard flooding due to ditch banks overtopping can be expected on storm events larger than the 25-yr event, however, all of the existing ditches south of 2nd Ave within PA 1 are able to contain flow from the



50-yr event with the majority demonstrating sufficiency during the 100-yr event. ALT 2 improvements are located solely on and north of 2nd Ave, therefore, street and backyard flooding can be expected for storm events larger than the 25-yr event. Provided the existing pipes are to remain in place south of 2nd Ave, street flooding can be expected on storm events greater than the 5-yr storm at the crossings and especially concentrated at the large closed system running parallel to and traversing Walnut St. However, similarly to ALT 1, existing ditches south of 2nd Ave will be sufficient during the 50-yr event.

3.2 Priority Area 2

3.2.1 PA 2 – ALT 1

| Description and | d Scope |
|--|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. PA 2 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 5 included in |
| | Appendix C. |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.65 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 4.5 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$509,900 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 | Priority Area 2 (PA 2) extends roughly from Virginia Dr to the west and Munn Ave to the east. 6 parcels have reported flooding issues within PA 2 and the majority are located where the sub- basin associated with PA 2 outfalls, along Pamela Cr. The reported issues primarily cite front yard and street flooding. |



3.2.2 PA 2 – ALT 2

| Description and Scope | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading | | | | | |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. Slight adjustments have been made to the existing closed system alignment along Pamela Cr so better geometry is achieved from a head loss perspective. Additionally, the closed system at the intersection of Hickory St and Munn Ave, a system that currently achieves design LOS, is replaced with an updated alignment to enhance geometry. | | | | | |
| | PA 2 – ALT 2 shown on Exhibit 5 included in Appendix C. | | | | | |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.5 | | | | | |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 4.5 | | | | | |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description | | | | | |
| PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 PA2 | Priority Area 2 (PA 2) extends roughly from Virginia Dr to the west and Munn Ave to the east. 6 parcels have reported flooding issues within the Priority Area and the majority are located where the sub-basin associated with PA 2 outfalls, along Pamela Cr. The reported issues primarily cite front yard and street flooding. | | | | | |



3.2.3 PA 2 – ALT 3

| Description and | d Scope | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading | | |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure in areas where there are documented flooding issues so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. Project scope is reduced from ALTS 1 and 2 to upsizing infrastructure only along Pamela Cr and opts for a crossing as opposed to closed system to convey drainage from the channel upstream of Pamela Cr. | | |
| | PA 2 – ALT 3 shown on Exhibit 5 included in Appendix C . | | |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 8.4 | | |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 4.5 | | |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$305,700 | | |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description | | |
| PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 | Priority Area 2 (PA 2) extends roughly from Virginia Dr to the west and Munn Ave to the east. 6 parcels have reported flooding issues within the Priority Area and the majority are located where the sub-basin associated with PA 2 outfalls, along Pamela Cr. The reported issues primarily cite front yard and street flooding. | | |



3.2.4 PA 2 - Alternatives Sensitivity Analysis

ALTS 1 and 2 upsize all existing insufficient infrastructure so that 25-yr LOS, based on future conditions, is achieved across all pipes, nodes and ditches. A majority of the pipes (~70%), all closed system, in PA 2 are included in ALT 1 improvements, additional pipes are included in ALT 2 to improve the geometry of the existing sufficient closed system located at the intersection of Munn Ave and Hickory St. and based on their proximity to roads, street flooding can be expected during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. Ditch improvements for ALTS 1 and 2 are located between Pamela Cr and Hickory St and at the ultimate outfall of the subbasin. Backyard flooding due to ditch banks overtopping can be expected in these areas during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. However, existing ditches on the most eastern side of PA 2 and along the western loop of Pamela Cr are able to contain flow from the 100-yr event. ALT 3 improvements are located solely along Pamela Cr and therefore, flooding along Pamela Cr can be expected for storm events larger than the 25-yr event. Backyard flooding due to overtopping ditch banks can be expected between Pamela Cr and Hickory St during events larger than the 10-yr event and the existing closed system located at Hickory St, similarly to the upsized system at Pamela Cr, is expected to surcharge during event larger than the 25-yr storm. ALT 3 also includes keeping the existing ditches at the eastern and western extents that achieve 100-yr LOS.



3.3 Priority Area 3

3.3.1 PA 3 – ALT 1

| Description and | d Scope |
|--|---|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. Additionally, a defined channel is proposed to convey drainage from E 1 st Ave to Barfields Old Mill Creek, located south of PA3. |
| | PA 3 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 6 included in Appendix C . |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.8 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 1 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$174,200 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA3 Entropy PA3 Entropy En | Priority Area 3 (PA 3) extends roughly from S Elm St to the west and the terminus of both E 2 nd Ave and E 1 st Ave to the east. There are no official documented flooding issues, but it is understood there's frustration within the PA due to a lack of flow path and outlet along the southern shoulder of E 1 st Ave and standing water at the intersection of E 1 st Ave and E 2 nd Ave in the northeast corner of PA 3. |



3.3.2 PA 3 – ALT 2

| Description and Scope | |
|--|---|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. Additionally, the previously ditched system along E 1 st Ave is replaced with a closed system with sizes varying from 15" to 24" and redirects the drainage southeast of the PA to a new outfall south of River Rd. Should this project be constructed in tandem with PA 5 – ALT 3, the pipes adjacent to S Pine St will have to be upsized. |
| | PA 3 – ALT 2 shown on Exhibit 6 included in Appendix C . |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.85 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 1 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA3 Cranter PA3 Cranter Cr | Priority Area 3 (PA 3) extends roughly from S Elm St to the west and the terminus of both E 2 nd Ave and E 1 st Ave to the east. There are no official documented flooding issues, but it is understood there's frustration within the PA due to a lack of flow path and outlet along the southern shoulder of E 1 st Ave and standing water at the intersection of E 1 st Ave and E 2 nd Ave in the northeast corner of PA 3. |

3.3.3 PA 3 - Alternatives Sensitivity Analysis

ALT 1 upsizes all existing insufficient infrastructure so that 25-yr LOS, based on future conditions, is achieved across all pipes, nodes and ditches. ALT 1 includes 50% of the pipes, all crossings with the majority traversing E 1st Ave, therefore, street flooding can be expected on storm events larger than the 25-yr event. Ditch improvements for ALT 1 are confined to E 1st Ave and can be expected to contribute to street flooding due to overtopping banks during events



larger than the 25-yr storm. ALT 2 improvements include the ALT 1 crossings along E 1st Ave and swap the roadside ditches for a closed system directed towards a new outfall south of River Rd. This new mainline, designed to convey the 25-yr event, can be expected to surcharge during larger events and likely cause street flooding along E 1st Ave, similarly to ALT 1.

3.4 Priority Area 4

3.4.1 PA 4 – ALT 1

| Description and Scope | |
|---|---|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. The design for the mainline of the closed system on the lumber property is 7'x7' RCBC to achieve LOS. |
| | PA 4 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 7 included in Appendix C . |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 6.2 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 0 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$4,715,100 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PAd ¹ PAd ¹ PAd ¹ PAd ¹ PAD Papplice Here Papplice Here | Priority Area 4 (PA 4) extends roughly from E 6 th Ave to 7 th Ave. The PA is primarily composed of infrastructure located on the lumber processing plant. PA 4 has the most hydrologic loading out of any PA, it being downstream of PA 5 and receiving an additional ~200 acres of off-site drainage to the east. |



3.4.2 PA 4 – ALT 2

| Description and Scope | |
|---|---|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. Additionally, the alignment of the lumber plant's closed system mainline (7'x7' RCBC) is adjusted to extend along E 6 th Ave to a new outfall into the Big Swamp Branch Floodplain. This updated alignment reduces drainage previously directed towards downstream infrastructure along N Walnut St and results in a majority of the existing pipes and ditches to achieve LOS. |
| | PA 4 – ALT 2 shown on Exhibit 7 included in Appendix C . |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 6.35 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 0 |
| Priority Area Location | \$6,262,100 Priority Area Description |
| Pagentico star W M M M M Created M | Priority Area 4 (PA 4) extends roughly from E 6 th Ave to 7 th Ave. The PA is primarily composed of infrastructure located on the lumber processing plant. PA 4 has the most hydrologic loading out of any PA, it being downstream of PA 5 and receiving an additional ~200 acres of off-site drainage to the east. |



3.4.3 PA 4 – ALT 3

| Description and Scope | |
|--|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved with the exception of the lumber plant's closed system mainline, upsizing to 60" RCP. ALT 3 should only be considered if PA 5 – ALT 3 is chosen as a project. PA 5 – ALT 3 includes a bypass line that redirects drainage away from PA 4 and towards a new outfall on River Rd. The 60" RCP system will achieve a reduced LOS when compared to ALTS 1 and 2, but is still an improvement on existing sufficiency. The alignment of the 60" RCP line matches ALT 2 with the proposed outfall into the Big Swamp Branch Floodplain. This updated alignment reduces drainage previously directed towards downstream infrastructure along N Walnut St and results in a majority of the existing pipes and ditches to achieve LOS. |
| Proliminary Soloction Critoria (PSC): | PA 4 – ALT 3 shown on Exhibit 7 included in Appendix C. |
| Project Performance Criteria (PBC). | 0.90 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$2 534 300 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| Participante Pa | Priority Area 4 (PA 4) extends roughly from E 6 th Ave to 7 th Ave. The PA is primarily composed of infrastructure located on the lumber processing plant. PA 4 has the most hydrologic loading out of any PA, it being downstream of PA 5 and receiving an additional ~200 acres of off-site drainage to the east. |



3.4.4 PA 4 - Alternatives Sensitivity Analysis

ALT 1 upsizes all existing insufficient infrastructure in place so that 25-vr LOS, based on future conditions, is achieved across all pipes, nodes and ditches. A majority of the pipes (~80%), both closed system and crossings, in PA 4 are included in ALT 1 improvements, and based on their proximity to roads and driveways, street and driveway flooding can be expected during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. The ditch improvements for ALT 1 are located adjacent to N Walnut St and at the ultimate outfall of the subbasin into Big Swamp Branch Tributary 1 floodplain. N Walnut St flooding due to ditch banks overtopping can be expected during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. ALT 2 improvements include the crossings included majority of the closed systems included in ALT 1, however, the ALT 2 design provides a revised alignment for the lumber plant's closed system that receives roughly 280 acres of drainage. The new alignment extends the system along E 6th Ave and proposes a new outlet into the Big Swamp Branch Tributary 1 floodplain. The revised closed system is designed for the 25-yr storm, therefore, surcharging and E 6th St flooding can be expected during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. However, with the drainage diversion proposed in ALT 2, existing downstream infrastructure adjacent to N Walnut St is expected to be sufficient during larger events (50-yr/100-yr) due to a reduction in flow. ALT 3 improvements include the alignment revisions specified in ALT 2, but opt for a smaller lumber plant closed system, 60" as opposed to 7'x7'. This size reduction can be expected to result in surcharging and street flooding during events larger than the 10-yr event. ALT 3, similarly to ALT 2, redirects the drainage and permits existing infrastructure along N Walnut St to provide capacity for events larger than the 25-yr storm.



3.5 Priority Area 5

3.5.1 PA 5 – ALT 1

| Description and Scope | |
|---|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. PA 5 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 8 included in |
| Proliminary Solaction Critoria (PSC) | Appendix C. |
| Project Performance Criteria (PSC): | 0.75 |
| Oninion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$651,300 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA4 PA4 PA5 PA5 PA3 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 PA1 | Priority Area 5 (PA 5) extends roughly from E 1 st Ave to E 5 th Ave. 4 parcels have reported flooding issues within PA 5 along S Pine St and E 3 rd Ave and involve street, yard, and habitable structure flooding. The "midpoint" of PA 5, intersection of E 3 rd Ave and S Pine St, functions as a bowl based on 2020 LiDAR and when coupled with a lack of defined, sloping ditches and clogged infrastructure along E 3 rd Ave results in ditch/driveway overtopping and ultimately street and structure flooding. |



3.5.2 PA 5 – ALT 2

| Description and Scope | |
|--|---|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. However, ALT 2 opts for a 48" closed system parallel to E 3 rd Ave as opposed to a mixed closed/open system with ditches and driveway culverts. PA 5 – ALT 2 shown on Exhibit 8 included in |
| | Appendix C. |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 6.4 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 9.5 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$966,000 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA4 PA5 PA5 PA1 PA1 Q 285 530 Feet | Priority Area 5 (PA 5) extends roughly from E 1 st Ave to E 5 th Ave. 4 parcels have reported flooding issues within PA 5 along S Pine St and E 3 rd Ave and involve street, yard, and structure flooding. The "midpoint" of PA 5, intersection of E 3 rd Ave and S Pine St, functions as a bowl based on 2020 LiDAR and when coupled with a lack of defined, sloping ditches and clogged infrastructure along E 3 rd Ave results in ditch/driveway overtopping and ultimately street and structure flooding. |



3.5.3 PA 5 – ALT 3

| Description and Scope | |
|---|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. However, ALT 2 includes a bypass closed system ranging in size from 18" to 42" RCP that redirects roughly 30 acres of drainage away from E 3 rd Ave and towards a new outfall into the defined, existing channel parallel to River Rd. This flow reduction felt by the infrastructure along E 3 rd Ave results in smaller pipe sizes/ditch typical sections to achieve design LOS when compared to ALTS 1 and 2. The bypass system also reduces hydrologic loading on PA 4 provided PA 5 drains to PA 4 through the existing channel between E 5 th Ave and E 6 th Ave. |
| | PA 5 – ALT 3 shown on Exhibit 8 included in Appendix C . |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 6.3 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 10 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$1,022,300 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA4 | Priority Area 5 (PA 5) extends roughly from E 1 st Ave to E 5 th Ave. 4 parcels have reported flooding issues within PA 5 along S Pine St and E 3 rd Ave and involve street, yard, and structure flooding. The "midpoint" of PA 5, intersection of E 3 rd Ave and S Pine St, functions as a bowl based on 2020 LiDAR and when coupled with a lack of defined, sloping ditches and clogged infrastructure along E 3 rd Ave results in ditch/driveway overtopping and ultimately street and structure flooding. |



3.5.4 PA 4 & 5 – ALT 4

| Project Type: Project Type: The propo within t achieved. H system ra redirects ro 2rd Ave area | pe Installation/Channel Grading osed project will upsize all infrastructure the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is lowever, ALT 4 includes a bypass closed |
|---|--|
| The propo within t achieved. H system ra redirects ro | bsed project will upsize all infrastructure the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is lowever, ALT 4 includes a bypass closed |
| Project Description: Project Description: Project Description: Project Description: Project Description: Project Description: PA 4 & 5 - | anging in size from 42 to 54 RCP that bughly 25 acres of drainage away from E d towards a new outfall into the defined, tannel north of the intersection of N Pine 5th Ave. This flow reduction felt by the re along E 3rd Ave results in smaller pipe typical sections to achieve design LOS pared to ALTS 1 and 2. Provided ALT 4 is an additional drainage connection point stream of the lumber plant's main closed approvements were included from PA 4 – b achieve design LOS. ALT 4 includes sized upstream infrastructure within PA 4 ared to ALT 1 due to increased flow from the bypass outfall. ALT 4 shown on Exhibit 9 included in |
| | Appendix C. |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 4.45 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 9.5 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$6,036,300 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| Priority Ar Ave to 7 th infrastruc plant. PA 4 any PJ receivin Priority Ar Ave to E 5 issues wit and invol The "midg and S Pine LiDAR an sloping dit 3 rd Ave re ultim | rea 4 (PA 4) extends roughly from E 6th ^h Ave. The PA is primarily composed of cture located on the lumber processing 4 has the most hydrologic loading out of A, it being downstream of PA 5 and 19 an additional ~200 acres of off-site drainage to the east. rea 5 (PA 5) extends roughly from E 1st sth Ave. 4 parcels have reported flooding thin PA 5 along S Pine St and E 3rd Ave live street, yard, and structure flooding. point" of PA 5, intersection of E 3rd Ave e St, functions as a bowl based on 2020 ad when coupled with a lack of defined, ches and clogged infrastructure along E sults in ditch/driveway overtopping and ately street and structure flooding. |



3.5.5 PA 5 - Alternatives Sensitivity Analysis

ALT 1 upsizes all existing insufficient infrastructure in place so that 25-vr LOS, based on future conditions, is achieved across all pipes, nodes and ditches. A majority of the pipes (~90%), all crossings, in PA 5 are included in ALT 1 improvements, and based on their location, can be expected to cause street and driveway flooding during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. The ditch improvements for ALT 1 are located adjacent to Pine St, E 3rd Ave, and between E 3rd Ave and E 5th Ave. Street and backvard flooding can be expected in these areas due to ditch banks overtopping during storm events larger than the 25-yr event. ALT 2 improvements include the majority of ALT 1 crossings and ditches, but instead proposes a closed system along the south side of E 3rd Ave as opposed to a mixed open/closed system. The closed system is designed for the 25-yr event, therefore, flooding can be expected in similar areas outlined in ALT 1 during events larger than the 25-yr storm. ALT 3 proposes a closed bypass system along S Pine St that redirects roughly 30 acres of drainage away from E 3rd Ave and towards a new outlet south of River Rd. The bypass system is designed for the 25-yr event, therefore, surcharging and resulting street and driveway flooding along S Pine St can be expected during larger storm events. However, with the additional flow path introduced in the form of the bypass system in ALT 3, the upsized infrastructure proposed along E 3rd Ave and further downstream have the potential to achieve sufficiency greater than the 25-yr storm. ALT 4 includes a majority of the improvements specified in PA 4 – ALT 1 and PA 5 – ALT with the addition of a bypass line from E 3rd Ave to E 5th Ave, flowing parallel to N Pine St. Due to the majority of shared design with PA 4 – ALT 1 and PA 5 – ALT 1, flooding in similar areas as well as additional area along N Pine St can be expected during events larger than the 25-yr storm. ALT 4, however, provides drainage relief on infrastructure along E 3rd Ave and downstream infrastructure within PA 5, and the proposed increased pipe/ditch sizes have the potential to convey events larger than the 25-yr storm, similarly to ALT 3.

3.5.6 PA 5 - Buyout Alternative

A buyout alternative is proposed for PA 5. The buyout properties are concentrated along S Pine St and E 3rd Ave, where there are reports of standing water and structure flooding due to roughly 30 acres of drainage flowing to the area with no clearly defined drain towards downstream PA 4. **Figure 3** below shows the parcels proposed for buyout and a list is provided next to the figure identifying each of the 12 properties with their site address and tax parcel number. If an address is not provided, that indicates that there is no assigned site address associated with the parcel.



3.6 Priority Area 6

3.6.1 PA 6 – ALT 1

| Description and Scope | |
|---|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. PA 6 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 10 included in |
| Proliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 0 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$536.700 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| Image: second | Priority Area 6 (PA 6) extends roughly from the terminus of Heidi Dr to the west and Cedarbrook Dr to the east. PA 6 primarily consists of a closed system flowing down Vivian Dr before ultimately outfalling into the Big Swamp Branch floodplain. |



3.6.2 PA 6 – ALT 2

| Description and Scope | |
|---|--|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. However, ALT 2 includes an extension of the closed system along Vivian Dr to run parallel to Quail Dr and Heidi Dr before ultimately outfalling into the Big Swamp Branch floodplain. This extended closed system reduces the flow directed towards the properties on Quail Dr and allows existing infrastructure to achieve design LOS. |
| | PA 6 – ALT 2 shown on Exhibit 10 included in Appendix C. |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.5 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 0 \$054,600 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PA6 0 0 0 240 Pac | Priority Area 6 (PA 6) extends roughly from the terminus of Heidi Dr to the west and Cedarbrook Dr to the east. PA 6 primarily consists of a closed system flowing down Vivian Dr before ultimately out falling into the Big Swamp Branch floodplain. |

3.6.3 PA 6 - Alternatives Sensitivity Analysis

ALT 1 upsizes all existing insufficient infrastructure in place so that 25-yr LOS, based on future conditions, is achieved across all pipes, nodes and ditches. ALT 1 includes all pipes and ditches within PA 6 and based on their location, street flooding along Vivian Rd and Quail Dr and backyard flooding can be expected to occur during events larger than the 25-yr storm. ALT 2 improvements include roughly half of the ALT 1 improvements, but instead opts for an extended



closed system, designed for the 25-yr storm, that follows the Heidi Dr alignment before outfalling into the forested area located west of PA 6. Flooding can be expected upstream of the Vivian Rd and Quail Dr intersection and along Heidi Dr during events larger than the 25-yr storm. However, the extended system proposed in ALT 2 alleviates flow directed towards the properties between Quail Dr and Heidi Dr and existing infrastructure in place achieves design LOS and has the potential to convey larger events (50-yr/100-yr).

3.7 Priority Area 7

3.7.1 PA 7 – ALT 1

| Description and Scope | |
|---|---|
| Project Type: | Pipe Installation/Channel Grading |
| Project Description: | The proposed project will upsize all infrastructure within the PA so that design LOS (25-yr) is achieved. PA 7 – ALT 1 shown on Exhibit 11 included in Appendix C . |
| Preliminary Selection Criteria (PSC): | 7.65 |
| Project Performance Criteria (PPC): | 3 |
| Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC): | \$162,400 |
| Priority Area Location | Priority Area Description |
| PAT PAT 0 15 29 pct | Priority Area 7 (PA 7) covers the entirety of properties located along Forest Acres Dr. 2 properties have reported flooding issues within PA 7 and involve backyard flooding from an insufficient channel and flooding attributed to Big Swamp Branch. The southernmost properties along Forest Acres Dr lie within the 100-yr floodplain of Big Swamp Branch. |



4.0 Low to Moderate Income (LMI) Communities Assessment

Communities, as defined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), are smaller zones within a county determined by the Census Bureau and commonly referred to as tracts. Tracts are typically made up of about 1,200 to 8,000 people. The boundaries are set and can be found on many government maps. The Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) establishes a "low-income community" to be a tract where the median family income is of less than 50 percent of the area median income. A moderate-income community means that the median family income is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income. The "area" as it refers to Pamplico's location is specified as the "Florence, SC HUD Metro FMR Area" and has a median family income of \$66,400, estimated for FY 2022. The Town of Pamplico resides in Census Tract 18 and has an estimated 2021 median family income of \$56,599 as determined by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC), which classifies the community as "middle-income", meaning the median family income is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area income. Tract 18 has an estimated population of 3,298 as determined by 2020 census results, therefore Pamplico makes up roughly a third of the community. A more specific look into only the town limits of Pamplico reveals the median household income to be roughly \$38,300 based on 2020 census data, which is 57% of the area income. By this metric, Pamplico may be considered a low-to-moderate income town. However, it's worth noting that recent median family income was not available specifically for Pamplico. And, median family income is typically higher than median household income because of the composition of households.


5.0 Recommended Projects and Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)

5.1 Recommended Projects

Kimley-Horn identified 5 alternatives to recommend as projects. One alternative was selected for each PA, with the exception of PA 6 and PA 7. A 6 does not have any reported flooding issues, either by survey, survey accompaniment, or public meeting input. And, the primary issue associated with PA 7 involves flooding attributed to Big Swamp Branch's floodplain, as opposed to failing storm water infrastructure. The alternative's score played the largest factor in project recommendation, however, the OPCC also played a role. Alternatives were given less priority if a large percentage of costs were associated with upsizing existing infrastructure to achieve design LOS in areas where flooding issues aren't known or reported, evidenced in the recommendations for PA 1 and PA 2. Additionally, project connectivity factored into project recommendations. The recommended projects for PA 3, 4, and 5 all communicate with each other either directly or indirectly. PA 3 and PA 5 share an outfall at River Rd and PA 4 receives less hydrologic loading when compared to existing conditions due to the bypass line included in PA 3 and PA 5. Summaries of the recommended project are included in **Table 2** below and detailed concept plans for each recommended project are included in **Appendix D**.

5.2 Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA)

A BCA was performed on the 5 recommended projects in order to determine their cost effectiveness. The FEMA BCA v6.0 toolkit was used for the BCA analysis.

5.2.1 Benefits

The benefits were calculated as the avoided future costs due to the completion of the recommended project. A benefit that was shared between all 5 recommended projects was the avoided road impacts cost per day during various recurrence storms (10yr -100 yr). The recommended projects for Priority Areas 1 through 4 also assumed complete infrastructure failure and washout during the 100-yr event and therefore included the cost to implement the recommended project. The severity of existing flooding in Priority Area 5 led to the assumed infrastructure failure/washout to occur within 10 years and the cost to buy out certain properties due to expected flood damage was also included. All recommended projects also shared an avoided loss of service cost attributed to expected obstructed access to the fire station due to flooding. Standard ecosystem benefits and additional social benefits were quantified for Priority Areas 1, 2, and 4. The ecosystem benefits stemmed from the expected benefit of reduced riverine flooding in the areas as a result of project improvements. Once ecosystem benefits are included in a project, additional social benefits can be applied that incorporate the number of affected residents and employed residents. For a complete BCA report of each recommended project that provides more details on the calculated benefits refer to **Appendix F**.

5.2.2 Costs

A large percentage of the total cost of the recommended project was the construction cost. Other costs included maintenance costs and road impact costs per day after implementation of the recommended project. The recommended projects do not provide LOS beyond the 25-yr storm, therefore, street/road flooding can be expected during larger storm events. However, it is assumed that completion of the project will not result in complete infrastructure failure/washout



Kimley »Horn

during any storm event. For a complete BCA report of each recommended project that provides more details on the calculated costs refer to **Appendix F**.

| | | Project Score (out of 20) | OPCC | | BCA | Project Description |
|------|-------|------------------------------|------|-----------|------|---|
| PA 5 | ALT 3 | 16.3 | \$ | 1,022,300 | 2.10 | Installation of bypass line, approximately 1,420 LF ranging in size from 18"-42" RCP, extending from E 3 rd Ave to a new outfall south of River Rd. Reduces hydrologic loading on downstream infrastructure and permits 24" RCP driveway crossings along E 3 rd Ave to meet LOS. |
| PA 1 | ALT 2 | 12.45 | \$ | 563,800 | 5.71 | Upsize closed systems at intersection of W 2 nd Ave and Hickory S to 24"-42" RCP, and upsize channels/crossings behind properties along W 2 nd Ave. |
| PA 2 | ALT 3 | 12.9 | \$ | 305,700 | 2.11 | Upsize and improve geometry of northmost closed system on Pamela Cr to 36"-42" RCP and replace southmost closed system on Pamela Cr with a 30" RCP crossing. |
| PA 4 | ALT 3 | 6.95 | \$ | 2,534,300 | 0.74 | Upsize lumber plant closed system to 60" RCP and provide new alignment that extends down E 6 th Ave approximately 1,000 LF and establish new outfall into Big Swamp Branch Tributary 1. Reduces hydrologic loading on infrastructure along N Walnut St. |
| PA 3 | ALT 2 | 8.85 | \$ | 789,900 | 0.14 | Upsize insufficient crossings and install closed system of approximately 2,220 LF ranging in size from 15"-24" RCP from the intersection of E 1 st Ave and E 2 nd Ave to River Rd. |

Table 2. Pamplico Priority Area Project Alternatives Summary



APPENDIX A

GENERAL EXHIBITS



Kimley **»Horn**



APPENDIX B

INVENTORY TABLES AND SUFFICIENCY TABLES/EXHIBIT



Kimley»Horn

| NAME | TYPE | SOURCE | INV_ELEV | RIM_ELEV | DEPTH | ISSUES_Y_N | ISSUES_DES | ISSUES_SRC | INVEN_DATE |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|----------|----------|-------|------------|--|------------|------------|
| P1_BJB_1 | Blind structure | Survey | 78.30 | 81.57 | 3.27 | Y | Assumed blind structure | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_CB_1 | Catch basin | Survey | 77.20 | 81.15 | 3.95 | Y | Recessed | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1 CB 3 | Catch basin | Survey | 77.31 | 80.73 | 3.42 | Y | 20% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_CB_4 | Catch basin | Survey | 77.30 | 80.89 | 3.59 | N | <u> </u> | | Apr-22 |
| P1 CB 5 | Catch basin | Survey | 76.89 | 81.42 | 4.53 | Ν | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 CB 6 | Catch basin | Survey | 78.61 | 81.01 | 2.4 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 CB 7 | Catch basin | Survey | 63.42 | 69.50 | 6.08 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 CB 8 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 66.68 | 70.14 | 3.46 | Y | Recessed | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1 DI 1 | Drop inlet | Survey | 78 10 | 82 17 | 4 07 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 DL 2 | Drop inlet | Survey | 78.27 | 82.16 | 3.89 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 DL 3 | Drop inlet | Survey | 79.27 | 81.52 | | Ŷ | Assumed inv. based on rim and 1 ft cover | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1 DI 4 | Drop inlet | Survey | 65.97 | 69.28 | 3 31 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 DL 5 | Drop inlet | Survey | 80.66 | 07120 | 0101 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1 DL 6 | Drop inlet | 2021 SCDOT As-Built Plans (SC 51 - Phase 3) | 00100 | | | Y | Not surveyed | | Apr-22 |
| P1 DL 7 | Drop inlet | 2021 SCDOT As-Built Plans (SC 51 - Phase 3) | | | | Ŷ | Not surveyed | | Apr-22 |
| P1 IB 1 | lunction box | Survey | 78.96 | 81.89 | 2.93 | N | Notsurveyeu | | Apr-22 |
| P1 MH 1 | Manhole | Survey | 78.63 | 81.68 | 3.05 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1_0EP_1 | Open ended nine | Survey | 74.34 | 01.00 | 0.00 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_10 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 70.50 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_11 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.52 | 83.09 | 2 57 | Y | Not confirmed in survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_12 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 00.02 | 81.68 | 2.07 | V | Not confirmed in survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| D1 OED 13 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 64.06 | 01.00 | | V | Submerged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1 OEP 14 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 74.68 | | | V | Not confirmed in survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_15 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 79.66 | | | N | Not commed in survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_16 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 70.00 | 62.28 | | N | | | Apr 22 |
| P1_OEP_17 | Open ended pipe | Survey | | 70.77 | | V | Not confirmed in survey | Survey | Δpr-22 |
| P1_OEP_18 | Open ended pipe | 2021 SCDOT As-Built Plans (SC 51 - Phase 3) | | 70.77 | | V | Not commence in survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| D1 OED 10 | Open ended pipe | 2021 SCDOT As Built Plans (SC 51 - Phase 3) | | | | V | Not surveyed | | Apr 22 |
| D1 OED 2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 74.62 | | | N | Not sulveyed | | Apr-22 |
| D1 OED 3 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 65.62 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| | Open ended pipe | Survey | 64.53 | | | N | | | Apr 22 |
| P1_OEP_5 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 62.80 | | | N | | | Δpr-22 |
| P1_OEP_6 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 64.14 | | | V | 15% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_7 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 65.37 | | | V | 20% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P1_OEP_8 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 57.89 | | | N | 2070 blockage | Juivey | Apr-22 |
| D1 OFP 0 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 71.80 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| D2 BIB 1 | Blind junction box | Survey | 60.05 | 72.05 | | V | Not conf, by survey, inv and rim from stormcad | SURVOV | Apr-22 |
| P2 DI 1 | Dron inlet | Survey | 62.89 | 67.04 | 4.15 | N | Not com. by survey, inv and tim form stormead | Suivey | Apr-22 |
| P2 DL 2 | Drop inlet | Survey | 63.20 | 67.18 | 3.98 | Y | 5% cement blockage? | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P2 DL 3 | Drop inlet | Survey | 63 39 | 67.43 | 4.04 | N | on content blockaye: | Juivey | Apr-22 |
| P2 DI 4 | Drop inlet | Survey | 63.95 | 68.35 | 4 40 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 DL 5 | Drop inlet | Survey | 65.25 | 70.13 | 4.88 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 DL 6 | Drop inlet | Survey | 76 33 | 70.10 | 1.00 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 MH 1 | Manhole | Survey | 72.13 | 73.24 | 1 11 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 1 | Open ended nine | Survey | 62.09 | 70.21 | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 10 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 69 71 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 11 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 2 | | | Y | Not confirmed by survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 63.56 | | | N | Not committee by survey | Juivey | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 3 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 75.74 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 4 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 77.00 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2_OFP_5 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 76.57 | | | Y | 10% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 6 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 64.08 | | | N | | 04.10 | Apr-22 |
| P2 OFP 7 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 65 42 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2 OEP 8 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 68.44 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P2_OEP 9 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 69.51 | | | Ν | | | Apr-22 |
| | | - , | | | | | | | |

| P3_DI_1 | Drop inlet | Survey | 80.95 | 83.23 | | Y | 25% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|-------|------|-------------------------|----------|--------|
| P3_DI_2 | Drop inlet | Survey | 81.31 | 84.78 | 3.47 | Y | 10% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3_FES_1 | Flared end section | Survey | 81.61 | | | Y | 25% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 FES 2 | Flared end section | Survey | 81.14 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 FES 3 | Flared end section | Survey | 80.20 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 FES 4 | Flared end section | Survey | 82.08 | | | Y | 10% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 FES 5 | Flared end section | Survey | 82.73 | | | N | | , | Apr-22 |
| P3 HW 1 | Headwall | Survey | 81.79 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P3 HW 2 | Headwall | Survey | 81.33 | | | Y | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 HW 3 | Headwall | Survey | 80.87 | | | Ŷ | 70% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 HW 4 | Headwall | Survey | 81.34 | | | Ŷ | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3 OFP 1 | Open ended nine | Survey | 81.65 | | | Ŷ | 40% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3_OEP_10 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.01 | | | V | 50% fill | Survey | Δpr-22 |
| P3_OEP_11 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.01 | | | Ŷ | 30% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3_OEP_12 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.61 | | | N | 0070111 | Survey | Δpr-22 |
| D3 OED 2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.60 | | | V | 40% fill | Survey | Apr 22 |
| D3_OED_3 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.47 | | | V | 40% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| D2 OED 4 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 01.47 | | | V | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| D2 OED 4 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 01.14 01.44 | | | V | 50% fill | Survey | Apr 22 |
| P3_0EP_0 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 01.40 | | | T NI | 50%111 | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3_0EP_7 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 01.04 | | | N | EQ9/ fill | Survoy | Apr-22 |
| P3_UEP_0 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 01.32 | | | T | 50%111 | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P3_UEP_9 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.70 | 7/ 77 | F 11 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_CB_1 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | /1.00 | 70.77 | 5.11 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_CB_2 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 74.63 | 79.52 | 4.89 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_CB_3 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 73.10 | /8.65 | 5.55 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_CB_4 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | /0.89 | /6.51 | 5.62 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_1 | Drop inlet | Survey | /6.54 | 81.04 | 4.5 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_10 | Open throat drop inlet | Survey | /1.21 | 81.34 | 10.13 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_11 | Drop inlet | Survey | 70.18 | 74.66 | 4.48 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_12 | Drop inlet | Survey | /1.06 | | | N | | - | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_13 | Drop inlet | Survey | | 79.31 | | Y | Clogged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_14 | Drop inlet | Survey | 77.06 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_2 | Drop inlet | Survey | 75.76 | 79.45 | 3.69 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_3 | Open throat drop inlet | Survey | 74.62 | 79.27 | 4.65 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_4 | Drop inlet | Survey | 74.26 | 78.47 | 4.21 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_5 | Drop inlet | Survey | 74.09 | 78.13 | 4.04 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_6 | Drop inlet | Survey | 73.62 | 79.04 | 5.42 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_7 | Open throat drop inlet | Survey | 73.90 | 80.05 | 6.15 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_8 | Drop inlet | Survey | 73.24 | 79.27 | 6.03 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_DI_9 | Open throat drop inlet | Survey | 71.64 | 82.05 | 10.41 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_JB_1 | Junction box | Survey | 77.58 | 80.42 | 2.84 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_0EP_1 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 78.16 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_10 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 68.95 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_11 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 74.16 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_12 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 75.28 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_14 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 76.98 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_15 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 77.40 | 78.40 | 1 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_16 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 77.17 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_17 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 70.52 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_18 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 66.66 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_19 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 67.73 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P4_0EP_2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 85.57 | | | Y | 20% full | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_20 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 70.66 | | | Y | Not confirmed by survey | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_3 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.27 | | | Y | 20% full | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_4 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.93 | | | Y | 100% full | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P4_OEP_5 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.10 | | | Y | 75% full | Survey | Apr-22 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| P4_OEP_6 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.93 | | | Y | 100% full | Survey | Apr-22 |
|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------------|-------|------|--------|----------------------|----------|--------|
| P4_OEP_7 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.47 | | | Y | 100% full | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P4 OEP 8 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 75.86 | | | N | | y | Apr-22 |
| P4 OFP 9 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 69.37 | | | Ν | | | Apr-22 |
| P5 DI 1 | Drop inlet | Survey | 80.57 | 82.75 | 2.18 | Y | Recessed | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5 OFP 1 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.32 | | | Y | 25% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OFP_10 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.52 | | | Ŷ | 80% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_11 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.73 | | | Ŷ | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_12 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.92 | | | Ŷ | 10% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_13 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.39 | | | V | 50% fill | Survey | Δpr-22 |
| D5 OED 14 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.84 | | | N | 5078111 | Survey | Apr-22 |
| D5_OED_14 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 00.04 90.95 | | | V | 40% fill | Survov | Apr-22 |
| P5_0EF_15 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 00.00 | | | I V | 40% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_10 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 01.20 | | | f V | 40%1111 100%/fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_17 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.30 | | | t V | 100% 111 | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_18 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.29 | | | Ť | 100%1111 | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_19 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.77 | | | N | 250/ 611 | Commence | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.44 | | | Ŷ | 25% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_0EP_20 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.11 | | | N | 1000/11 | 0 | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_21 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.44 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_UEP_22 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.61 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_23 | Upen ended pipe | Survey | 80.45 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_24 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.55 | | | Ŷ | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_25 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.42 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_26 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.05 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_27 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.65 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_28 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.95 | | | Y | 100% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_29 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.86 | | | Y | 70% submerged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_3 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.52 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_30 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.91 | | | Y | 70% submerged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_31 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.28 | | | Y | 90% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_32 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.24 | | | Y | 90% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_33 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.33 | | | Ν | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_34 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.77 | | | Y | 90% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_35 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.06 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_36 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.47 | | | Y | 70% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_37 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.75 | | | Y | 70% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_38 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.24 | | | Y | 100% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_39 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.12 | | | Y | 100% debris | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_4 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.15 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_40 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.28 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_41 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.16 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_42 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.50 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_43 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.68 | | | Y | 25% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_44 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.62 | | | Y | 20% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_45 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.59 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_46 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.02 | | | Y | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5 OEP 47 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.14 | | | Y | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5 OFP 48 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.26 | | | Y | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5 OEP 49 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.10 | | | Ŷ | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5 OFP 5 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.43 | | | Y | 10% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OFP_50 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 84.06 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5 OFP 51 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 83.30 | | | Y | 75% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OFP_52 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.63 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OFP_53 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81.23 | | | Y | 90% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OFP_54 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 81 19 | | | N | 70702100Kdg0 | 00.009 | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_55 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.49 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| | opon onaca pipe | 04.703 | 00.17 | | | | | | p. 22 |

| P5_OEP_56 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 82.33 | | | Ν | | | Apr-22 |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------|-------|-------|------|---|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| P5_OEP_57 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.65 | | | Y | Completely submerged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_6 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.32 | | | Ν | | | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_7 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.05 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_8 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.20 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P5_OEP_9 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 80.04 | | | Y | 50% fill | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P6_CB_1 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 75.65 | 78.81 | 3.16 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P6_CB_2 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 75.79 | 78.72 | 2.93 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P6_CB_3 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 75.03 | 78.35 | 3.32 | Y | 10% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P6_DI_1 | Drop inlet | Survey | | 78.73 | | Y | Clogged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P6_DI_2 | Drop inlet | Survey | 75.30 | 77.48 | 2.18 | Y | BB edge of grate pipe recessed | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P6_DI_3 | Drop inlet | Survey | 74.74 | 76.89 | 2.15 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P6_JB_1 | Junction box | Survey | 74.99 | 76.49 | 1.5 | Y | Inaccessible, assumed location | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P6_OEP_1 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 77.49 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P6_OEP_2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 74.51 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P6_OEP_3 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 70.80 | | | Y | 10% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P6_OEP_4 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 70.52 | | | Y | 25% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P7_CB_1 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 57.69 | 61.36 | 3.67 | Y | 20% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P7_CB_2 | Open throat catch basin | Survey | 57.47 | 61.49 | 4.02 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P7_DI_1 | Open throat drop inlet | Survey | 59.78 | 63.16 | 3.38 | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P7_DI_2 | Open throat drop inlet | Survey | 60.01 | 63.49 | 3.48 | Y | Collapsed 40% clogged | Survey | Apr-22 |
| P7_OEP_1 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 60.39 | | | N | | | Apr-22 |
| P7_0EP_2 | Open ended pipe | Survey | 57.22 | | | Y | 50+% blockage | Survey | Apr-22 |

Table 4. Inventory of Existing Pipes

| INVEN_BY | TYPE | PIPE_SHAPE | DIAMETER | DIAM_OTHER | PIPE_RISE | PIPE_SPAN | MATERIAL | US_INVERT | DS_INVERT | ISSUES | ISSUES_DES | ISSUES_SRC | ISSUES_DAT | SUFFIC_Y_N |
|----------|------|------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---|------------|------------|------------|
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | | | | | | 83.11 | 82.77 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | | | | | | 83.12 | 82.24 | YES | 100% DEBRIS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | | | | | | 83.47 | 82.75 | YES | 70% FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 10" | | 10= | 10" | LIDDE | 83.16 | 82.28 | YES | 50 FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SLIDVEV | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 12 | | 12" | 12 | PCP | 75.20 | 74.10 | VES | DIRECTIONAL | SURVET | 2022-04 | |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 12" | | 12" | 12" | CMP | 62.28 | 61.92 | YES | SMALL YARD DRAINAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 12" | | 12" | 12" | RCP | 77.40 | 01.72 | YES | GRI CLOGGED DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 12" | | 12" | 12" | HDPE | 80.66 | 79.23 | | | | | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 12"/15" | | 12"/15" | 12"/15" | HDPE/RCP/CLAY | 70.80 | 70.52 | YES | 10% FILL US/25% FILL DS - 12" CLAY ALSO NOTED | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 12"/18" | | 12"/18" | 12"/18" | CMP | 63.53 | | YES | SMALL YARD DRAINAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | | 62.89 | YES | FROM SILT FIELD | SURVEY | 2022-04 | |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 78.27 | 78.10 (Double Points) | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 78.42 | 77.85 (Double Points) | VEC | | CLIDV(D) | 2022.04 | N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15 | | 15 | 15 | RUP DCD | 78.66 (DOUDIE POINTS) | 11.20 | TES | RECESSED NO EL DS | SUKVET | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVET | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 15 | | 15 | 15 | RCP | 70.01 | 90.20 | VEC | 100 500 | CLID//EV | 2022.04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 82.33 | 81.77 | YES | 90% BLOCKAGE DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.91 | 80.86 | YES | 70% SUBMERGED | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.06 | 80.92 | YES | 50 FILL US/10% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.73 | 80.52 | YES | 50 FILL US/80 FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.20 | 80.04 | YES | 50% FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.84 | 80.39 | YES | 50% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.28 | 80.85 | YES | 40 FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.64 | 81.14 | YES | 50% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.61 | 81.46 | YES | 25" FILL US/ 50% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVET | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 15 | | 15 | 15 | RCP DCD | 01.32 | 00.20 | VES | 20% FILL US/60% FILL DS | SURVET | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15 | 15 | RCP | 80.95 | 80.65 | YES | 100% BLOACKAGE | SURVET | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.05 | 80.42 | YES | 100% BLOCKAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.55 | 80.45 | YES | 100% BLOCKAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.61 | 80.44 | YES | 100% BLOCKAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.52 | 79.01 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | HDPE | 77.17 | | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | | 84.06 | 83.30 | YES | 50 FILL US/75 FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | | 84.10 | 83.12 | YES | 75 FULL US/50 FULL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 78.16 | 77.76 | 1000 | | 01101/01 | 0000 01 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 80.63 | 81.28 | YES | 90% BLOCKAGE DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15 | | 15 | 15 | KUP DCD | 81.24 | 81.23 | YES | 90% BLUCKAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVET | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 15 | | 15 | 15 | RCP DCD | 01.19 | 00.00 79 62 (Double Pointe) | 163 | SUBIVIERGED | SURVET | 2022-04 | N V |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.79 | 81.33 | YES | 75% EUL DS | SLIRVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.34 | 81.12 (Double Points) | YES | 75% FILL US/25% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Ý |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.47 | 81.14 | YES | 50% FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.65 | 81.60 | YES | 40% FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 82.08 | 81.70 | YES | 10" FILL US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 82.73 | 82.61 | | | | | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | 0.00 | 83.68 | 83.50 | YES | 25 FILL US/50 FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Ŷ |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15" | | 15" | 15" | RCP | 81.31 | 80.95 | VEC | 20% PLOCKACE DC | CLIDVEY | 2022.04 | Ŷ |
| SURVET | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 15 15" LIC/24" DC | | 15 15" LIC/24" DC | 15" LIS/24" DS | RCP DCD | 77.70 | 75.70 | 1ES | 20% BLOCKAGE DS | SURVET | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15 03/24 03 | | 15 03/24 03 | 15"/18" | HDPE/RCP | 82.33 | 80.49 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 15"/18" | | 15"/18" | 15"/18" | RCP | 80.95 (Double Points) | 80.87 | YES | 25% FILL US/70% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 73.98 | 73.10 | YES | DIRECTIONAL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 65.97 | 65.62 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 65.99 | 64.53 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 80.32 | 80.05 | YES | 50% FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 81.44 | 81.32 | YES | 25% FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP DCD | /4./4 | 74.51 | | | | | N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 75.03 | 74.90 | YES | 10% BLOCKAGE US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 75.30 | 75.16 | YES | 10% BLOCKAGE DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 75.79 | 75.36 | 125 | 1010 BEOGRAGE BO | 5011-21 | LOLL OT | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 75.84 | 75.83 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | | 75.65 | YES | DI CLOGGED US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | | 84.59 | 83.62 | YES | 20 FILL DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | | 81.47 | 80.93 | YES | 100 FULL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | CMP | 77.06 | 76.05 | VEC | | CLIDWEY | 2022.04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 77.50 | 75.51 | YES | GRI CLOGGED US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP DCD | 76.54 | 74.90 | | | | | IN N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 70.54 | 73.94 (Multiple Points) | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 73.83 (Multiple Points) | 71.90 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 59.78 (Double Points) | 57.89 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 60.03 | 59.94 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 60.39 | 60.01 | YES | COLLAPSED 40% CLOGGED INLET DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 64.08 | 63.56 | | | | | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | | 66.10 (Double Points) | | | | | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 81.52 | 81.15 | 1/50 | | 01101101 | 0000.01 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 18" | | 18" | 18" | RCP | 57.84 (Double Points) | 57.69 | YES | 20% BLOCKAGE DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24 | | 24 | 24 | RCP DCD | 02.94 | 62.09 | | | | | N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24 | | 24 | 24 | RCP | 72.22 | 69.71 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 24" | | 24" | 24" | HDPF | 77.60 | 77.57 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | | 24" | 24" | HDPE | 77.30 | 77.09 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | | 24" | 24" | RCP | 71.89 | 70.50 | | | | | N |

Table 4. Inventory of Existing Pipes

| CLIDV/CV | DIDE | CIDCULAD | 24" | 24" | 24" | | 74.40 | 74.24 | | | T | | N |
|---------------------------|------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|-------|-------------------------|------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|------|
| SURVET | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 24 | 24 | 24 | HDD US/RDPE DS | /4.0Z | 74.34 | | | | | N |
| SUDVEY | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 24 | 24 | 24 | | 65.25 | 64.10 | | | | | N |
| SUDVEY | DIDE | CIRCULAR | 24 | 24 | 24 | | 63.05 | 04.10 | | | - | | N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24 | 24 | 24 | | 63.95 | 63.46 | VEC | 22 ET EN CEMENT DI OCKACE DE | CLIDVEV | 2022.04 | IN N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24 | 24 | 24 | DCD | 63.39 | 63.20 | TES | 22.3 5% CEIVIENT BLOCKAGE D3 | SURVET | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVET | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24 | 24 | 24 | RUP | 03.24 | 63.14 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 72.13 | 69.51 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | /2.16 | | 1450 | | 011011514 | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | HDPE | //.49 | | YES | DI CLOGGED DS | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | | 84.26 | 84.10 | YES | 75 FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | 0.00 | 84.14 | 84.02 | YES | 75 FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 76.96 | 76.16 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 67.73 | 66.66 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | HDPE | 76.89 | 74.68 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 71.66 | 71.17 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 70.89 | 70.66 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | HDPE | 77.09 | 76.94 (Double Points) | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 77.00 | 76.56 | | | | | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 76.42 | 75.74 | | | | | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 76.57 | 76.33 | YES | 10% FILL US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | RCP | 57.72 | 57.22 | YES | 50+% BLOCKAGE | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 24" | 24" | 24" | | 80.57 | 80.43 | YES | RECESSED - 10% FILL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Y |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 30" | 30" | 30" | | 85.57 | 83.27 | YES | 20 FULL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 30" | 30" | 30" | HDPE | 75.93 | 75.86 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 30"/36" | 30"/36" | 30"/36" | RCP | 75.76 | 74.77 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 71.06 | 70.91 | YES | DIRECTIONAL | SURVEY | 2022-04 | |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 64.06 | 57.89 (Multiple Points) | YES | SUBMERGED US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | HDPE | 74.62 | 74.50 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 74.26 | 74.17 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 74.29 | 73.62 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 73.90 | 73.36 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | HDPF | 73.24 | 71.73 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | HDPF | 71.64 | 71.37 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | HDPF | 71.21 | 70.50 | | | | | N |
| SLIRVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 70.52 | 70.18 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 74.09 | 73.64 | | | | | N |
| SURVEY | PIPF | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | 69.37 | 68.95 | | | | | N |
| 2021 SCDOT As-Built Plans | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (SC 51 - Phase 3) | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | | | YES | NOT SURVEYED | | | |
| 2021 SCDOT As-Built Plans | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (SC 51 - Phase 3) | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | | | YES | NOT SURVEYED | | | |
| 2021 SCDOT As-Built Plane | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | - |
| (CCE1 Dbaco 2) | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 36" | 36" | 36" | RCP | | | YES | NOT SURVEYED | | 1 | |
| (SUBTERNES) | DIDE | CIDCULAD | 40" | 40" | 40" | DCD | 64.14 | (2.00 | VEC | 1E" DLOCKACE LIS | CLIDVEV | 2022.04 | V |
| SURVEY | PIPE | CIRCULAR | 42 | 42 | 42 | KUP | 04.14 | 02.80 | 162 | 15 DLUCKAGE US | SURVEY | 2022-04 | Ŷ |

Table 5. Inventory of Existing Ditches

| NAME | SOURCE | LENGTH | SUFFIC_Y_N |
|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| P1_D_1 | Lidar | 90.7 | Y |
| P1_D_10 | Lidar | 64.7 | Y |
| P1_D_2 | Lidar | 285.3 | Y |
| P1_D_3 | Lidar | 464.0 | Y |
| P1_D_4 | Lidar | 459.9 | Y |
| P1_D_5 | Lidar | 836.3 | Ν |
| P1_D_6 | Lidar | 187.0 | Y |
| P1_D_7 | Lidar | 764.7 | Y |
| P1_D_8 | Lidar | 503.0 | Ν |
| P1_D_9 | Lidar | 94.7 | Y |
| P2_D_1 | Lidar | 184.2 | Y |
| P2_D_10 | Lidar | 650.1 | N |
| P2_D_11 | Lidar | 122.5 | Y |
| P2_D_12 | Lidar | 218.8 | N |
| P2_D_2 | Lidar | 195.6 | Y |
| P2_D_3 | Lidar | 305.9 | Y |
| P2_D_4 | Lidar | 234.8 | Y |
| P2_D_5 | Lidar | 241.1 | Y |
| P2_D_6 | Lidar | 886.0 | Y |
| P2_D_7 | Lidar | 486.2 | N |
| P2 D 8 | Lidar | 290.5 | Y |
| P2 D 9 | Lidar | 384.3 | Y |
| P3 D 1 | Lidar | 75.8 | Y |
| P3 D 2 | Lidar | 70.8 | Y |
| P3 D 3 | Lidar | 657.2 | Ν |
| P3 D 4 | Lidar | 61.1 | N |
| P3 D 5 | Lidar | 159.2 | Ν |
| P3 D 6 | Lidar | 82.1 | Y |
| P4 D 1 | Lidar | 415.0 | Y |
| P4_D_2 | Lidar | 140.5 | Y |
| P4 D 3 | Lidar | 230.9 | Y |
| P4_D_4 | Lidar | 634.9 | N |
| P4_D_5 | Lidar | 951.9 | Y |
| P4_D_6 | Lidar | 66.7 | N |
| P5_D_1 | Lidar | 326.7 | Y |
| P5_D_10 | Lidar | 63.3 | N |
| P5_D_11 | Lidar | 496.5 | Ν |
| P5 D 12 | Lidar | 424.6 | N |
| P5_D_13 | Lidar | 12.4 | Y |
| P5_D_14 | Lidar | 22.5 | N |
| P5_D_2 | Lidar | 82.4 | Y |
| P5_D_3 | Lidar | 57.5 | N |
| P5_D_4 | Lidar | 194.3 | Ν |
| P5_D_5 | Lidar | 133.7 | Y |
| P5_D_6 | Lidar | 45.1 | Ν |
| P5_D_7 | Lidar | 72.1 | N |
| P5_D_8 | Lidar | 68.8 | Ν |
| P5_D_9 | Lidar | 217.1 | N |
| P6_D_1 | Lidar | 389.4 | N |
| P6_D_2 | Lidar | 155.6 | Y |
| P6_D_3 | Lidar | 723.3 | Y |
| P6_D_4 | Lidar | 452.3 | Y |
| P6_D 5 | Lidar | 342.9 | Ν |
| P7 D 1 | Lidar | 227.9 | Y |
| P7_D_2 | Lidar | 204.9 | Y |

Table 6. Pipe Crossings Sufficiency (Refer to Exhibit 3)

| | | SUFFICIENT EXISTING | EXISTING SUFFICIENCY | SUFFICIENT FUTURE | FUTURE SUFFICIENCY |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| UPSTREAMINODE | DOWINSTREAMINODE | (Y/N) | NOTE | (Y/N) | NOTE |
| P1_OEP_1 | P1_OEP_2 | N | Overtops 25-YR | N | Overtops 10-YR |
| P1_OEP_13 | P1_OEP_8 | N | Overtops 10-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P1_OEP_9 | P1_OEP_10 | Ν | Overtops 25-YR | N | Overtops 10-YR |
| P2_OEP_6 | P2_OEP_2 | Y | Passing 100-YR | Y | Passing 100-YR |
| P3_FES_1 | P3_OEP_6 | Ν | Overtops 5-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P3_FES_2 | P3_OEP_7 | N | Overtops 10-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P3_FES_3 | P3_OEP_8 | Ν | Overtops 5-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P3_FES_4 | P3_OEP_9 | Y | Passing 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P3_FES_5 | P3_OEP_12 | Y | Passing 100-YR | Y | Passing 100-YR |
| P3_HW_1 | P3_HW_2 | Y | Overtops 100-YR | Y | Overtops 50-YR |
| P3_OEP_1 | P3_OEP_2 | Y | Passing 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P3_OEP_11 | P3_OEP_10 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P3_OEP_3 | P3_OEP_4 | Y | Overtops 50-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P4_OEP_19 | P4_OEP_18 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P4_OEP_2 | P4_OEP_3 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P4_OEP_4 | P4_OEP_5 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P4_OEP_9 | P4_OEP_10 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_1 | P5_OEP_2 | Ν | Overtops 10-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P5_OEP_10 | P5_OEP_11 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_13 | P5_OEP_14 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_15 | P5_OEP_16 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_17 | P5_OEP_18 | Ν | 100% filled | Ν | 100% filled |
| P5_OEP_20 | P5_OEP_19 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_21 | P5_OEP_22 | Ν | 100% filled | Ν | 100% filled |
| P5_OEP_24 | P5_OEP_23 | N | 100% filled | N | 100% filled |
| P5_OEP_26 | P5_OEP_25 | Ν | 100% filled | N | 100% filled |
| P5_OEP_28 | P5_OEP_27 | N | 100% filled | N | 100% filled |
| P5_OEP_3 | P5_OEP_4 | Y | Passing 100-YR | Y | Passing 100-YR |
| P5_OEP_30 | P5_OEP_29 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_32 | P5_OEP_53 | N | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_34 | P5_OEP_33 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_35 | P5_OEP_12 | N | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_37 | P5_OEP_36 | N | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_38 | P5_OEP_39 | N | 100% filled | Ν | 100% filled |
| P5_OEP_40 | P5_OEP_41 | N | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_42 | P5_OEP_43 | Y | Passing 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P5_OEP_44 | P5_OEP_45 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_47 | P5_OEP_46 | N | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_49 | P5_OEP_48 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_51 | P5_OEP_50 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_52 | P5_OEP_31 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_54 | P5_OEP_57 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_56 | P5_OEP_55 | Ν | Overtops 5-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P5_OEP_6 | P5_OEP_7 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | Ν | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_OEP_8 | P5_OEP_9 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P6_OEP_3 | P6_OEP_4 | Ν | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |

Note: LOS guidelines for crossings under multi-lane collector roadways is conveying the 50-year storm event without overtopping the roadway. LOS for crossings under local roadways and driveways is conveying the 25-year event without overtopping.

Table 7. Closed System Sufficiency (Refer to Exhibit 3)

| UPSTREAM NODE | DOWNSTREAM NODE | SUFFICIENT EXISTING (Y/N) | EXISTING SUFFICIENCY NOTE | SUFFICIENT FUTURE (Y/N) | FUTURE SUFFICIENCY NOTE |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| P1_BJB_1 | P1_CB_5 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P1_CB_1 | P1_CB_3 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P1_CB_3 | P1_CB_4 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P1_CB_4 | P1_BJB_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P1_CB_5 | P1_OEP_14 | N | Upstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node surcharges |
| P1_CB_6 | P1_BJB_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P1_CB_7 | P1_OEP_5 | Ŷ | | Y | |
| P1_CB_8 | P1_CB_7 | Ŷ | | N | Upstream node surcharges |
| PI_DI_1 | P1_D1_2 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both hodes surcharge |
| P1_D1_2 | PI_CB_3 | N | Both podes surcharge | N | Both hodes surcharge |
| P1_D1_3 | PI_CB_0 | N | Lipstream pode surcharges | N | Lipstroam pode surcharges |
| P1 DI 5 | D1 IR 1 | V | opstream node surcharges | V | Opstream node surcharges |
| P1_IB_1 | P1 MH 1 | Y | | Y | |
| P1 MH 1 | P1 CB 1 | N | Downstream node surcharges | N | Downstream node surcharges |
| | | | | | |
| P1_OEP_3 | P1_DI_4 | N | N N N N | | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P1_OEP_6 | P1_CB_7 | Y | Unstream node sursbarres N | | Upstream node surcharges |
| P2_BJB_1 | P2_0EP_8 | N | Upstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node surcharges |
| P2_DI_1 | P2_UEP_1 | N | Poth podes surcharge | N | Poth podos surchargo |
| P2_DI_2 | | N | Both nodes surcharge N | | Both podes surcharge |
| P2_DI_3 | P2_DI_2 | N | Both nodes surcharge N | | Both podes surcharge |
| P2 DL 5 | P2 DL 4 | N | Both nodes surcharge N | | Both nodes surcharge |
| P2 DL 6 | P2_OFP_3 | Y | Y | | Both Hodes Sarohargo |
| P2_MH_1 | P2_BJB_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge N | | Both nodes surcharge |
| P2_OEP_10 | P2_MH_1 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P2_OEP_4 | P2_DI_6 | Y | | Y | |
| P2_UEP_5 | P2_DI_6 | Y | | Ŷ | |
| P2_OEP_7 | P2_DI_5 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P2_OEP_9 | P2_MH_1 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P3_DI_1 | P3_HW_3 | Ŷ | | Ŷ | |
| P3_DI_2 | P3_DI_1 | Y | | Y | |
| P3_HW_2 | P3_DI_1 | Ý NI | Both podes surphoras | Y N | Both podes surphores |
| P4_CB_1 | P4_CB_4 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_CB_2 | P4_CB_3 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both hodes surcharge |
| P4_CB_3 | P4_CD_1 | N | Linstream node surcharges | N | Lipstream node surcharges |
| P4_DL 1 | P4 CB 2 | N | Both nodes surcharges | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4 DI 10 | P4 DI 11 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4 DL 11 | P4_OFP_17 | N | Upstream node surcharges | N | Unstream node surcharges |
| P4 DI 13 | P4 DI 4 | N | Downstream node surcharges | N | Downstream node surcharges |
| P4_DI_14 | P4_DI_2 | N | Downstream node surcharges | N | Downstream node surcharges |
| P4_DI_2 | P4_DI_3 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_3 | P4_DI_4 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_4 | P4_DI_5 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_5 | P4_DI_6 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_6 | P4_DI_7 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_7 | P4_DI_8 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_8 | P4_DI_9 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_DI_9 | P4_DI_10 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P4_JB_1 | P4_DI_1 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P4_OEP_14 | P4_DI_2 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P4_OEP_15 | P4_DI_13 | Ν | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | Ν | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P4_OEP_16 | P4_DI_7 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P4_OEP_8 | P4_DI_2 | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | Ν | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P5_DI_1 | P5_OEP_5 | Y | | Y | |
| P6_CB_1 | P6_CB_2 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P6_CB_2 | P6_DI_2 | Ň | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P6_CB_3 | P6_JB_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P6_DI_1 | P6_CB_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge | N | Both nodes surcharge |
| P6_UI_2 | PO_UB_3 | N N | Both hodes surcharge | N N | Both hodes surcharge |
| P6 IR 1 | P6 DL 2 | N | Both podes surcharge | N | Both podes surcharge |
| P6_OEP_1 | P6_DI_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge N Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges N | | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |
| P7 CB 1 | P7 OFP 2 | Y | | Y | |
| P7_CB_2 | P7_CB_1 | Ŷ | | Ŷ | |
| P7_DI_1 | P7_CB_1 | N | Upstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node surcharges |
| P7_DI_2 | P7_DI_1 | N | Both nodes surcharge | Ν | Both nodes surcharge |
| P7_OEP_1 | P7_DI_2 | Ν | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges | N | Upstream node overtops/downstream node surcharges |

Note: LOS guidelines for closed storm sewer systems is conveying the 25-year storm event under non-surcharged conditions at each node.

Table 8. Open Channels Sufficiency (Refer to Exhibit 3)

| | SUFFICIENT EXISTING | EXISTING SUFFICENCY | SUFFICIENT FUTURE | FUTURE SUFFICENCY |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| NAIVIE | (Y/N) | NOTE | (Y/N) | NOTE |
| P1_D_1 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P1_D_10 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P1_D_2 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P1_D_3 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P1_D_4 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P1_D_5 | N | Overtops 25-YR | Ν | Overtops 10-YR |
| P1_D_6 | Y | Overtops 50-YR | Ν | Overtops 25-YR |
| P1_D_7 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P1_D_8 | N | Overtops 10-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P1_D_9 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_1 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_10 | N | Overtops 10-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P2_D_11 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_12 | N | Overtops 25-YR | Ν | Overtops 10-YR |
| P2_D_2 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_3 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_4 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_5 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_6 | Y | Overtops 50-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P2_D_7 | N | Overtops 5-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P2_D_8 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P2_D_9 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P3_D_1 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P3_D_2 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P3_D_3 | N | Overtops 10-YR | Ν | Overtops 5-YR |
| P3_D_4 | N | Overtops 5-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P3_D_5 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P3_D_6 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P4_D_1 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P4_D_2 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P4_D_3 | Y | Overtops 50-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P4_D_4 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P4_D_5 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P4D6 | N | Overtops 25-YR | N | Overtops 10-YR |
| P5_D_1 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P5_D_10 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_11 | N | Overtops 25-YR | N | Overtops 10-YR |
| P5_D_12 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_13 | Ŷ | Conveys 100-YR | Ŷ | Conveys 100-YR |
| P5_D_14 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_2 | Ŷ | Overtops 50-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P5_D_3 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_4 | N | Overtops 25-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P5_D_5 | Y | Overtops 50-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P5_D_6 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_7 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_8 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P5_D_9 | N | Overtops 2-YR | N | Overtops 2-YR |
| P6_D_1 | N | Overtops 25-YR | N | Overtops 10-YR |
| P6_D_2 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Overtops 100-YR |
| P6_D_3 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P6_D_4 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |
| P6_D_5 | N | Overtops 5-YR | N | Overtops 5-YR |
| P7_D_1 | Y | Overtops 50-YR | N | Overtops 25-YR |
| P7_D_2 | Y | Conveys 100-YR | Y | Conveys 100-YR |

| NAME | SUFFICIENT EXISTING (Y/N) | SUFFICIENT FUTURE (Y/N) |
|----------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| P1_BJB_1 | N | Ν |
| P1_CB_1 | N | Ν |
| P1_CB_3 | N | Ν |
| P1_CB_4 | N | Ν |
| P1_CB_5 | N | Ν |
| P1_CB_6 | N | N |
| P1_CB_7 | Y | Y |
| P1_CB_8 | Y | Ν |
| P1_DI_1 | Ν | N |
| P1_DI_2 | N | Ν |
| P1_DI_3 | N | N |
| P1_DI_4 | N | N |
| P1_DI_5 | Y | Y |
| P1_JB_1 | Y | Υ |
| P1_MH_1 | Y | Y |
| P2_BJB_1 | N | N |
| P2_DI_1 | N | N |
| P2_DI_2 | N | N |
| P2_DI_3 | N | N |
| P2_DI_4 | N | N |
| P2_DI_5 | N | N |
| P2_DI_6 | Y | Ŷ |
| P2_MH_1 | N | N |
| P3_DI_1 | Y | Ŷ |
| P3_DI_2 | Ŷ | Ŷ |
| P4_CB_1 | N | N |
| P4_CB_2 | N | N |
| P4_CB_3 | N | N |
| P4_CB_4 | N | N |
| P4_DI_I | N NI | N N |
| P4_DI_10 | N | N |
| | N V | N V |
| P4_DI_13 | l V | I V |
| | I NI | I N |
| P4_DI_2 | N | N |
| | N | N |
| P4 DI 5 | N | N |
| P4 DI 6 | N | N |
| P4 DI 7 | N | N |
| P4 DI 8 | N | N |
| P4 DI 9 | N | N |
| P4_JB_1 | N | N |
| P5 DI 1 | Ŷ | Ŷ |
| P6 CB 1 | N | N |
| P6 CB 2 | N | N |
| P6_CB 3 | Ν | Ν |
| P6_DI 1 | N | N |
| P6_DI_2 | Ν | Ν |
| P6_DI_3 | N | N |
| P6_JB_1 | N | Ν |
| P7_CB_1 | γ | Ŷ |
| P7_CB_2 | Y | Y |
| P7_DI_1 | N | N |
| P7_DI_2 | Ν | Ν |

Table 9. Closed System Node Sufficiency (Refer to Exhibit 3)



APPENDIX C

PROJECT ALTERNATIVES EXHIBITS



Kimley **»Horn**



P2 OEF

P2_OEP_9

<D.

P2D

ALT 1 & 2: Upsize closed system to 30" RCP ALT 2: Improve closed system geometry ALT 3: Remove closed system and install 30" RCP crossing

P2_DI_5 P2_OEP_7

P2_ØEP_11

P2D

MAS Dr

P2_DI_1

P2 OEP

P2 OEP

E 3rd ANG PA5 ALT 3: Approximately 1,420 of 18"-42" RCP bypass within the right-of-way to redirect drainage towards an established channel parallel to River Rd and alleviate hydrologic loading on E 3rd Ave and downstream infrastructure Exhibit #6: Priority Area #3 1 inch = 50 feet VENCE. Legend Pamplico Parcels Priority Area 3 Roadways ----- Street FEMA Streams Properties with Issues Issue Reported (Survey Response/By Others) / Survey Response Received Existing Nodes Node = Catch Basin/Manhole/Drop Inlet/Junction Box Ditch Inventory — Pipe Inventory Alternative 1 Ditch Improvements Pipe Improvements Alternative 2 Pipe Improvements Priority Area 5 Alternative 3 Pipe Improvements Town of Pamplico Stormwater Study 9/29/2022 Prepared By: **Kimley**»**Horn** Prepared For:

SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE

E 2nd Ave P3_OEP_3 P3 OEP P3_OEP P3_HW_ P3 DI 2 EISLAVE ALT 2: Approximately 2,220 LF of 15"-24" RCP closed system within the right-of-way to collect drainage within PA #3 and redirect to an established channel parallel to River Rd. ALT 2 proposed closed system may upsize to 36"/42" RCP along S Pine St to proposed outfall at River Rd. should both ALT 2 and PA5 - ALT 3 be chosen as projects.

River Roj

20

0.9 Tribus

| Priority Area #4 | |
|--|-----|
| 1 inch = 75 feet | N |
| 0 150 300 Feet | |
| | |
| Legend | |
| 🗲 Pamplico Municipal Boundary | ſ |
| Pamplico Parcels | |
| Priority Area 4 | |
| Roadways | |
| State Road | |
| EFMA Flood Horord Area | |
| 100 Voar EEMA Eloodolain (Zono A) | |
| | |
| Properties with Issues | |
| Issue Reported (Survey Response/By Other | s) |
| ////// Survey Response Received | ° |
| Existing Nodes | |
| Node = Catch Basin/Manhole/Drop Inlet/Junction I | Box |
| — Ditch Inventory | 1 |
| Pipe Inventory | |
| Alternative 1 | |
| Ditch Improvements | |
| Pipe Improvements | |
| Alternative 2 | |
| Pipe Improvements | |
| Alternative 3 | |
| Pipe Improvements | |
| Town of Pamplico Stormwater Stu 9/29/2022 | ıdy |
| | |
| Prepared By: | |
| Prepared By: Kimley »Horn | |
| Prepared By: Kinley Morn Prepared For: | |

ALT 2/3: Approximately 1,000 LF of 7'x7' RCBC/60" RCP closed system within the right-of-way to direct drainage towards Big Swamp Branch Floodplain and alleviate hydrologic loading on N Walnut St.

P5_OEP_33

P5_OEP_5

ALT 4: Approximately 900 LF of 42"-54" RCP bypass within the right-of-way to redirect drainage towards an established channel and alleviate hydrologic loading on E 3rd Ave and downstream infrastructure. Upsize N Pine St crossing to to 54"/60" RCP to sufficiently convey additional drainage

E 3rd Ave

P5 OEP 1-

River Rol

ALT 1 & 2: Upsize closed system to 42" RCP

CB 3

P6 DI 1

ALT 2: Install approximately 850 LF of 42"/48" RCP closed system to divert majority of flow within Priority Area #6 to right-of-way and outfall into floodplain of Big Swamp Branch.

APPENDIX D

RECOMMENDED PROJEXTS EXHIBITS

Kimley **»Horn**

APPENDIX E

INDIVIDUAL PROJECT SCORING MATRICES AND OPCCs

Kimley»Horn

SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| LICO STORMWATER | MASTER |
|------------------|---------|
| PRIORITY AREA #1 | - ALT 1 |

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | | W/*C | NOTES |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.9 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Hickory St, W 2nd Ave, W 1st Ave, and W Coleman Ave through 4 crossings. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.6 | Temporarily impacts access to businesses along S Walnut St and the elementary school along Hickory St. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 5 | 1.5 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 6. | 5/10 | |

SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

PRIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|---|-------|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | E E |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along W 2nd Ave. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 2.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | Resolves flooding reported in backyard by properties along W 2nd Ave. |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 4.5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 44/00 |
|----------------------|---|-------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 11/20 |
Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 1

| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| GENERAL | | | | | \$90,500 |
| MOBILIZATION | | 1 | LS | \$11,000 | \$11,000 |
| CONSTRUCTIO | ON SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$16,000 | \$16,000 |
| PIPE REMOVAL | - | 1,550 | LF | \$30 | \$46,500 |
| REMOVE EXIST | TING STRUCTURE | 17 | EA | \$1,000 | \$17,000 |
| DRAINAGE | | | | | \$456,675 |
| 15" CONC. PIPE | E | 95 | LF | \$135 | \$12,825 |
| 18" CONC. PIPE | | 100 | LF | \$140 | \$14,000 |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | E | 95 | LF | \$145 | \$13,775 |
| 30" CONC. PIPE | E | 680 | LF | \$175 | \$119,000 |
| 36" CONC. PIPE | E | 215 | LF | \$215 | \$46,225 |
| 42" CONC. PIPE | E | 145 | LF | \$290 | \$42,050 |
| 54" CONC. PIPE | E | 230 | LF | \$420 | \$96,600 |
| DRAINAGE STR | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | | EA | \$3,000 | \$51,000 |
| DITCH EXCAVA | TION | 1,530 | LF | \$40 | \$61,200 |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTO | TAL | | | | \$547,200 |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | | \$164,300 |
| EROSION AND | SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$54,800 | \$54,800 |
| TRAFFIC CONT | ROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$43,800 | \$43,800 |
| UTILITY RELOO | CATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$65,700 | \$65,700 |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | | \$54,800 |
| DRAINAGE/TEN | PORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$54,800 | \$54,800 |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION | ON SERVICES | | | | \$109,500 |
| ENGINEERING | DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$82,100 | \$82,100 |
| CONSTRUCTIO | ON MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$27,400 | \$27,400 |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | | \$164,200 |
| CONTINGENC | 1 | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$164,200 | \$164,200 |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION | COST | | | | \$1,040,000 |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTION | DNS | SCORE | W/*C | NOTES |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 8 | 1.2 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Hickory St and W 2nd Ave through 2 crossings. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 8 | 0.8 | Temporarily impacts access to the elementary school along Hickory St. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 9 | 3.15 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7.9 | 5/10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS S | | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|---|----|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along W 2nd Ave. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 2.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | Resolves flooding reported in backyard by properties along W 2nd Ave. |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 4.5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 40.45/00 |
|----------------------|---|----------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 12.45/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #1 - ALT 2

| DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| GENERAL | | | | \$38,150 | | | | | | | |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$9,000 | \$9,000 | | | | | | | |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 605 | LF | \$30 | \$18,150 | | | | | | | |
| REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 5 | EA | \$1,000 | \$5,000 | | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$258,400 | | | | | | | |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | 95 | LF | \$145 | \$13,775 | | | | | | | |
| 30" CONC. PIPE | 35 | LF | \$175 | \$6,125 | | | | | | | |
| 36" CONC. PIPE | 110 | LF | \$215 | \$23,650 | | | | | | | |
| 42" CONC. PIPE | 145 | LF | \$290 | \$42,050 | | | | | | | |
| 54" CONC. PIPE | 230 | LF | \$420 | \$96,600 | | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 5 | EA | \$3,000 | \$15,000 | | | | | | | |
| DITCH EXCAVATION | 1,530 | LF | \$40 | \$61,200 | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$296,600 | | | | | | | |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$89,100 | | | | | | | |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$29,700 | \$29,700 | | | | | | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$23,800 | \$23,800 | | | | | | | |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$35,600 | \$35,600 | | | | | | | |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$29,700 | | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$29,700 | \$29,700 | | | | | | | |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | · | • • | · | \$59,400 | | | | | | | |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$44,500 | \$44,500 | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$14,900 | \$14,900 | | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | \$89,000 | | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$89,000 | \$89,000 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$563,800 | | | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



ICO STORMWATER MASTER | PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 1

| CDITEDIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTIO | DNS | SCODE | W/*C | NOTES | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W*5 | NOTES | |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. | |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 1.05 | Temporarily impacts access along Pamela Cr through 2 crossings. | |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. | |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7.6 | 5/10 | | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pamela Cr. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 2.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 4.5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 10 15/00 |
|----------------------|---|----------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 12.15/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | | | | |
|--|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| GENERAL | | | | \$36,150 | | | | | | |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$6,000 | \$6,000 | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$8,000 | \$8,000 | | | | | | |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 505 | LF | \$30 | \$15,150 | | | | | | |
| REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 7 | EA | \$1,000 | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$231,950 | | | | | | |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | 10 | LF | \$145 | \$1,450 | | | | | | |
| 30" CONC. PIPE | 70 | LF | \$175 | \$12,250 | | | | | | |
| 36" CONC. PIPE | 230 | LF | \$215 | \$49,450 | | | | | | |
| 42" CONC. PIPE | 200 | LF | \$290 | \$58,000 | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 7 | EA | \$3,000 | \$21,000 | | | | | | |
| DITCH EXCAVATION | 2,245 | LF | \$40 | \$89,800 | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$268,100 | | | | | | |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$80,600 | | | | | | |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$26,900 | \$26,900 | | | | | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$21,500 | \$21,500 | | | | | | |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$32,200 | \$32,200 | | | | | | |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$26,900 | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$26,900 | \$26,900 | | | | | | |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$53,800 | | | | | | |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$40,300 | \$40,300 | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$13,500 | \$13,500 | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | \$80,500 | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$80,500 | \$80,500 | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$509,900 | | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



| LICO STORMWATER MASTER | F |
|--------------------------|---|
| PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 2 | |

| | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTION | ONS | SCORE | W/*C | NOTES | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W*5 | NOTES | |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. | |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.9 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Hickory St, Pamela Cr, and Munn Ave through 4 crossings. | |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. | |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7.5 | 5/10 | | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pamela Cr. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 2.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 4 5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 10/00 |
|----------------------|---|-------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 12/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| | DESCRIPTION | | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | |
|---------|--|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|
| GENERA | L | | | | \$37,100 | | | |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | | | |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$8,000 | \$8,000 | | | |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 570 | LF | \$30 | \$17,100 | | | |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 7 | EA | \$1,000 | \$7,000 | | | |
| DRAINAC | ЭЕ | | | | \$231,550 | | | |
| | 24" CONC. PIPE | 70 | LF | \$145 | \$10,150 | | | |
| | 30" CONC. PIPE | 60 | LF | \$175 | \$10,500 | | | |
| | 36" CONC. PIPE | 230 | LF | \$215 | \$49,450 | | | |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 185 | LF | \$290 | \$53,650 | | | |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 6 | EA | \$3,000 | \$18,000 | | | |
| | DITCH EXCAVATION | 2,245 | LF | \$40 | \$89,800 | | | |
| CONSTR | UCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$268,700 | | | |
| INCIDEN | TALS | | | | \$80,700 | | | |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$26,900 | \$26,900 | | | |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$21,500 | \$21,500 | | | |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$32,300 | \$32,300 | | | |
| PROPER | TY ACQUISITION | | | | \$26,900 | | | |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$26,900 | \$26,900 | | | |
| DESIGN | & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$53,900 | | | |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$40,400 | \$40,400 | | | |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$13,500 | \$13,500 | | | |
| CONTING | GENCY | | | | \$80,700 | | | |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$80,700 | \$80,700 | | | |
| TOTAL C | ONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$510,900 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 3

| CDITEDIA | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | ONS | SCORE | W*C | NOTES | | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 8 | 0.8 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 1.05 | Temporarily impacts access along Pamela Cr through 2 crossings. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 9 | 3.15 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 8 | 2.4 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 8.4 | 1/10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 3

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pamela Cr. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 2.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 4 5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 12 0/20 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 12.9/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #2 - ALT 3

| | DESCRIPTION | | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | |
|----------|--|-----|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|
| GENERA | L | | | | \$31,150 | | | |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$4,000 | \$4,000 | | | |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | | | |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 505 | LF | \$30 | \$15,150 | | | |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 7 | EA | \$1,000 | \$7,000 | | | |
| DRAINAG | E | | | | \$129,500 | | | |
| | 30" CONC. PIPE | 32 | LF | \$175 | \$5,600 | | | |
| | 36" CONC. PIPE | 230 | LF | \$215 | \$49,450 | | | |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 185 | LF | \$290 | \$53,650 | | | |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 4 | EA | \$3,000 | \$12,000 | | | |
| | DITCH EXCAVATION | 220 | LF | \$40 | \$8,800 | | | |
| CONSTR | UCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$160,700 | | | |
| INCIDENT | TALS | | | | \$48,300 | | | |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$16,100 | \$16,100 | | | |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$12,900 | \$12,900 | | | |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$19,300 | \$19,300 | | | |
| PROPER | TY ACQUISITION | | | | \$16,100 | | | |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$16,100 | \$16,100 | | | |
| DESIGN 8 | & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$32,300 | | | |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$24,200 | \$24,200 | | | |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$8,100 | \$8,100 | | | |
| CONTING | SENCY | | | | \$48,300 | | | |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$48,300 | \$48,300 | | | |
| TOTAL C | ONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$305,700 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



| LICO STORMWATER MASTER | S I |
|--------------------------|-----|
| PRIORITY AREA #3 - ALT 1 | |

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | | NOTES |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.9 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along E 1st Ave through 3 crossings and obstructs driveway access along E 1st Ave. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 8 | 2.4 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7. | 3/10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #3 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|--|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 1 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1 | Flooding alleviated in forested area north of E 2nd Ave. |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 1/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 0.0/00 |
|----------------------|---|--------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 8.8/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
|--|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| GENERAL | | | | \$12,200 |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 240 | LF | \$30 | \$7,200 |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$79,300 |
| 15" CONC. PIPE | 200 | LF | \$135 | \$27,000 |
| 18" CONC. PIPE | 45 | LF | \$140 | \$6,300 |
| DITCH EXCAVATION | 1,150 | LF | \$40 | \$46,000 |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$91,500 |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$27,600 |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$9,200 | \$9,200 |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$7,400 | \$7,400 |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$11,000 | \$11,000 |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$9,200 |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$9,200 | \$9,200 |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$18,400 |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$13,800 | \$13,800 |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$4,600 | \$4,600 |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | \$27,500 |
| CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$27,500 | \$27,500 |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$174,200 |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

. private property.

100%

TOTAL PSC SCORE

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



7.85/10

| | | | F | RIORITY AREA #3 - ALT 2 | | | | RESILIENCE |
|--|--|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|---|
| | DEFINITION | WEIGUT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | CODE | W/*C | NOTES |
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | vv*5 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 9 | 0.9 | Improvements will require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 4 | 0.6 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along the majority of E 1st Ave and part of Pine St. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 9 | 0.9 | Temporarily impacts access along River Rd through one crossing. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 7 | 2.45 | Involves extensive new pipe installation which is a potential maintenance item. Pipes involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 10 | 3 | Work to be containted in right-of-way. |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #3 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|--|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 1 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | Flooding alleviated in forested area north of E 2nd Ave. |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 1/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 0.05/00 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 8.83/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| PRIORITY AREA #3 - ALT 2 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | |
| GENERAL | | | | \$27,200 | | |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$8,000 | \$8,000 | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$12,000 | \$12,000 | | |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 240 | LF | \$30 | \$7,200 | | |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$388,350 | | |
| 15" CONC. PIPE | 200 | LF | \$135 | \$27,000 | | |
| 18" CONC. PIPE | 1,110 | LF | \$140 | \$155,400 | | |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | 1,110 | LF | \$145 | \$160,950 | | |
| DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 15 | EA | \$3,000 | \$45,000 | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$415,600 | | |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$124,800 | | |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$41,600 | \$41,600 | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$33,300 | \$33,300 | | |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$49,900 | \$49,900 | | |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$41,600 | | |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$41,600 | \$41,600 | | |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$83,200 | | |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$62,400 | \$62,400 | | |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$20,800 | \$20,800 | | |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | \$124,700 | | |
| CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$124,700 | \$124,700 | | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$789,900 | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEICHT | S | DNS | SCORE | \M/*C | NOTES | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|-------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 1.05 | Temporarily impacts access to residential properites along E 6th Ave. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.6 | Temporarily impacts access to/through lumber processing facility located along E 6th Ave and non-residential section of N Walnut St for extended period of time with large box culvert installation and other non-residential sections of E 6th Ave and N Walnut St through smaller crossings. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 5 | 1.75 | Involves extensive box culvert installation and open channel improvements. Box culverts and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 6.2 | 2/10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|-------|
| | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 0 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 0/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | c 0/00 |
|----------------------|---|--------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 6.2/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| | | | 1 | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| GENERAL | | | | | \$201,750 |
| N | IOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$48,000 | \$48,000 |
| C | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$71,000 | \$71,000 |
| P | PIPE REMOVAL | 2,225 | LF | \$30 | \$66,750 |
| R | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 16 | EA | \$1,000 | \$16,000 |
| DRAINAGE | | | | | \$2,279,600 |
| 1 | 5" CONC. PIPE | 20 | LF | \$135 | \$2,700 |
| 2 | 4" CONC. PIPE | 115 | LF | \$145 | \$16,675 |
| 3 | 0" CONC. PIPE | 535 | LF | \$175 | \$93,625 |
| 4 | 2" CONC. PIPE | 80 | LF | \$290 | \$23,200 |
| 5 | 4" CONC. PIPE | 150 | LF | \$420 | \$63,000 |
| 7 | ' x 7' CONC. BOX CULVERT | 1,330 | LF | \$1,500 | \$1,995,000 |
| D | RAINAGE STRUCTURES | 16 | EA | \$3,000 | \$48,000 |
| D | DITCH EXCAVATION | 935 | LF | \$40 | \$37,400 |
| CONSTRUC | TION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$2,481,400 |
| INCIDENTA | LS | | | | \$744,600 |
| E | ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$248,200 | \$248,200 |
| Т | RAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$198,600 | \$198,600 |
| U | ITILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$297,800 | \$297,800 |
| PROPERTY | ACQUISITION | | | | \$248,200 |
| D | RAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$248,200 | \$248,200 |
| DESIGN & C | CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$496,400 |
| E | NGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$372,300 | \$372,300 |
| С | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$124,100 | \$124,100 |
| CONTINGE | NCY | | | | \$744,500 |
| С | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$744,500 | \$744,500 |
| TOTAL CON | ISTRUCTION COST | | | | \$4,715,100 |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 2



| | | | s | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTI | ONS | | | 10770 | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|---|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W*S | NOTES | |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. | |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 1.05 | Temporarily impacts access to residential properites along E 6th Ave. | |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 4 | 0.4 | Temporarily impacts access to/through lumber processing facility located along E 6th Ave and additional length of E 6th Ave from N Walnut St to S Pamplico Hightway for extended period of time with large box culvert system installation other non- residential sections of E 6th Ave and N Walnut St through smaller crossings. | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 6 | 2.1 | Involves extensive box culvert installation. Box culverts and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. | |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 6.3 | 5/10 | | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|-------|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 0 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 0/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 6 25/20 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 0.33/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4 - AI T 2

| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| GENERAL | | | | | \$230,600 | | | | | |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$65,000 | \$65,000 | | | | | |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$97,000 | \$97,000 | | | | | |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 1,820 | LF | \$30 | \$54,600 | | | | | |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 14 | EA | \$1,000 | \$14,000 | | | | | |
| DRAINAG | E | | | | \$3,065,075 | | | | | |
| | 15" CONC. PIPE | 20 | LF | \$135 | \$2,700 | | | | | |
| | 24" CONC. PIPE | 115 | LF | \$145 | \$16,675 | | | | | |
| | 30" CONC. PIPE | 500 | LF | \$175 | \$87,500 | | | | | |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 130 | LF | \$290 | \$37,700 | | | | | |
| | 54" CONC. PIPE | 150 | LF | \$420 | \$63,000 | | | | | |
| | 7' x 7' CONC. BOX CULVERT | 1,865 | LF | \$1,500 | \$2,797,500 | | | | | |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 20 | EA | \$3,000 | \$60,000 | | | | | |
| CONSTRU | ICTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$3,295,700 | | | | | |
| INCIDENT | ALS | | | | \$988,800 | | | | | |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$329,600 | \$329,600 | | | | | |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$263,700 | \$263,700 | | | | | |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$395,500 | \$395,500 | | | | | |
| PROPERT | YACQUISITION | | | | \$329,600 | | | | | |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$329,600 | \$329,600 | | | | | |
| DESIGN & | CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$659,200 | | | | | |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$494,400 | \$494,400 | | | | | |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$164,800 | \$164,800 | | | | | |
| CONTING | ENCY | | | | \$988,800 | | | | | |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$988,800 | \$988,800 | | | | | |
| TOTAL CO | DNSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$6,262,100 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 3

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTION | ONS | SCOPE | W/*S | NOTES | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|---|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | JCORE | W 3 | NOTES | |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. | |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 8 | 1.2 | Temporarily impacts access to residential properites along E 6th Ave. | |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 5 | 0.5 | Temporarily impacts access to/through lumber processing facility located along E 6th Ave and additional length of E 6th Ave from N Walnut St to S Pamplico Hightway for extended period of time with large box culvert system installation other non- residential sections of E 6th Ave and N Walnut St through smaller crossings. | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 7 | 2.5 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. | |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 6.9 | 5/10 | | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 3

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|-------|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 0 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural looding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 0/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | C 0E/20 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 6.95/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4 - ALT 3

| - | | | 5 | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| GENERAL | • | | | | \$133,600 |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$26,000 | \$26,000 |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$39,000 | \$39,000 |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 1,820 | LF | \$30 | \$54,600 |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 14 | EA | \$1,000 | \$14,000 |
| DRAINAG | E | | | | \$1,200,075 |
| | 15" CONC. PIPE | 20 | LF | \$135 | \$2,700 |
| | 24" CONC. PIPE | 115 | LF | \$145 | \$16,675 |
| | 30" CONC. PIPE | 500 | LF | \$175 | \$87,500 |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 130 | LF | \$290 | \$37,700 |
| | 54" CONC. PIPE | 150 | LF | \$420 | \$63,000 |
| | 60" CONC. PIPE | 1,865 | LF | \$500 | \$932,500 |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 20 | EA | \$3,000 | \$60,000 |
| CONSTRU | JCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$1,333,700 |
| INCIDENT | ALS | | | | \$400,200 |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$133,400 | \$133,400 |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$106,700 | \$106,700 |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$160,100 | \$160,100 |
| PROPERT | Y ACQUISITION | | | | \$133,400 |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$133,400 | \$133,400 |
| DESIGN & | CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$266,800 |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$200,100 | \$200,100 |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$66,700 | \$66,700 |
| CONTING | ENCY | | | | \$400,200 |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$400,200 | \$400,200 |
| TOTAL CO | DNSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$2,534,300 |
| | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

TOTAL PSC SCORE

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



| | | | P | RIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 1 | | | RESILIENCE | |
|---|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTIC | DNS | SCOPE | W*S | NOTES |
| OKITENIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 9 | 0.9 | Improvements will require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 5 | 0.75 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Pine St, E 3rd Ave, and S Oak St through crossings/driveway pipe installation and channel grading. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 8 | 0.8 | Temporarily impacts access along non- residential sections of E Main St and E 5th Ave through 3 crossings. |
| Dperation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| and Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 5 | 1.5 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 6.7 | 5/10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|----|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pine St. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 7.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 5 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 9 5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 16 25/20 |
|----------------------|---|----------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 10.25/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| | PRIORITY A | AREA #5 - ALT | 1 | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| GENERA | L | | | | \$44,300 |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 910 | LF | \$30 | \$27,300 |
| DRAINAC | ЭЕ | | | | \$298,250 |
| | 15" CONC. PIPE | 145 | LF | \$135 | \$19,575 |
| | 18" CONC. PIPE | 215 | LF | \$140 | \$30,100 |
| | 24" CONC. PIPE | 65 | LF | \$145 | \$9,425 |
| | 30" CONC. PIPE | 40 | LF | \$175 | \$7,000 |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 140 | LF | \$290 | \$40,600 |
| | 48" CONC. PIPE | 145 | LF | \$310 | \$44,950 |
| | 54" CONC. PIPE | 170 | LF | \$420 | \$71,400 |
| | DITCH EXCAVATION | 1,880 | LF | \$40 | \$75,200 |
| CONSTR | UCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$342,600 |
| INCIDEN | TALS | | | | \$103,000 |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$34,300 | \$34,300 |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$27,500 | \$27,500 |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$41,200 | \$41,200 |
| PROPER | TY ACQUISITION | | | | \$34,300 |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$34,300 | \$34,300 |
| DESIGN | & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$68,600 |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$51,400 | \$51,400 |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$17,200 | \$17,200 |
| CONTING | GENCY | | | | \$102,800 |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$102,800 | \$102,800 |
| TOTAL C | ONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$651,300 |
| | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

TOTAL PSC SCORE

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



6.4/10

| PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 2 | | | | | | | | RESILIENCE |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|---|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | SCOPE | W*S | NOTES |
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | COOKE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 9 | 0.9 | Improvements will require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 5 | 0.75 | Temporarily impacts access to residentia areas along Pine St, E 3rd Ave, and S Oak St through crossings/driveway pipe installation and channel grading. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 8 | 0.8 | Temporarily impacts access along non- residential sections of E Main St and E 5th Ave through 3 crossings. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 7 | 2.45 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements and significant new pipe installation along E 3rd Ave, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 5 | 1.5 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |

100%

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pine St. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 7.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 5 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 9 5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 45 0/00 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 15.9/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 2 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | | |
| GENERAL | | | | \$52,300 | | | | |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$15,000 | \$15,000 | | | | |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 910 | LF | \$30 | \$27,300 | | | | |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$455,850 | | | | |
| 15" CONC. PIPE | 145 | LF | \$135 | \$19,575 | | | | |
| 18" CONC. PIPE | 215 | LF | \$140 | \$30,100 | | | | |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | 65 | LF | \$145 | \$9,425 | | | | |
| 30" CONC. PIPE | 40 | LF | \$175 | \$7,000 | | | | |
| 42" CONC. PIPE | 140 | LF | \$290 | \$40,600 | | | | |
| 48" CONC. PIPE | 665 | LF | \$310 | \$206,150 | | | | |
| 54" CONC. PIPE | 170 | LF | \$420 | \$71,400 | | | | |
| DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 5 | EA | \$3,000 | \$15,000 | | | | |
| DITCH EXCAVATION | 1,415 | LF | \$40 | \$56,600 | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$508,200 | | | | |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$152,600 | | | | |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$50,900 | \$50,900 | | | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$40,700 | \$40,700 | | | | |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$61,000 | \$61,000 | | | | |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$50,900 | | | | |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$50,900 | \$50,900 | | | | |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$101,800 | | | | |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$76,300 | \$76,300 | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$25,500 | \$25,500 | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY 30% % OF CN SUBTOTAL \$152,500 \$152, | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$966,000 | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

private property.

100%

TOTAL PSC SCORE

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



6.3/10

| PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 3 | | | | | | | | RESILIENCE |
|--|--|----------------------------|--|---|--|-------|------|---|
| | DEFINITION | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | | | W*C | NOTES |
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W*5 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 9 | 0.9 | Improvements will require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 5 | 0.75 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Pine St, E 3rd Ave, and S Oak St through crossings/driveway pipe installation and channel grading. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 0.7 | Temporarily impacts access along non- residential sections of E Main St and E 5th Ave through 3 crossings and River Rd through 1 crossing. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 7 | 2.45 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements and significant new pipe installation along S Pine St, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 5 | 1.5 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 3

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|-------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pine St. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 8 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 5 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 0.5 | Alleviates flooding within downstream Priority Area #4 due to bypass line redirecting drainage down S Pine St towards River Rd. |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 10/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 16.3/20 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | |
Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| PRIORITY AREA #5 - ALT 3 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | | | |
| GENERAL | | | | | | | | | |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$11,000 | \$11,000 | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$16,000 | \$16,000 | | | | | |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 910 | LF | \$30 | \$27,300 | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$483,675 | | | | | |
| 15" CONC. PIPE | 145 | LF | \$135 | \$19,575 | | | | | |
| 18" CONC. PIPE | 620 | LF | \$140 | \$86,800 | | | | | |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | 145 | LF | \$145 | \$21,025 | | | | | |
| 30" CONC. PIPE | 515 | LF | \$175 | \$90,125 | | | | | |
| 36" CONC. PIPE | 40 | LF | \$215 | \$8,600 | | | | | |
| 42" CONC. PIPE | 550 | LF | \$290 | \$159,500 | | | | | |
| 48" CONC. PIPE | 95 | LF | \$310 | \$29,450 | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 10 | EA \$3, | | \$30,000 | | | | | |
| DITCH EXCAVATION | 965 | LF | \$40 | \$38,600 | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$538,000 | | | | | |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$161,500 | | | | | |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$53,800 | \$53,800 | | | | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$43,100 | \$43,100 | | | | | |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$64,600 | \$64,600 | | | | | |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$53,800 | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$53,800 | \$53,800 | | | | | |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$107,600 | | | | | |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$80,700 | \$80,700 | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$26,900 | \$26,900 | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | \$161,400 | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$161,400 | \$161,400 | | | | | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$1,022,300 | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #485 - ALT 4



| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTION | ONS | SCORE | \M/*C | NOTES |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|-------|---|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting intermittent stream/stream buffers and wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 4 | 0.6 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along majority of Pine St, E 3rd Ave, S Oak St, and E 6th Ave through crossings/driveway pipe/closed system installation and channel grading. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 5 | 0.5 | Temporarily impacts access to/through lumber processing facility located along E 6th Ave and non-residential section of N Walnut St for extended period of time with large box culvert installation and other non-residential sections of E Main St, E 5th Ave, E 6th Ave and N Walnut St through smaller crossings. |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 5 | 1.75 | Involves extensive box culvert/pipes installation and open channel improvements. Box culverts/pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 3 | 0.9 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 4.4 | 5/10 | |

SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF RESILIENCE

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)

PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #4&5 - ALT 4

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|--------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 2 | Resolves four documented flood reports along Pine St. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 7.5 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 5 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1.5 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 9.5/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 12 05/20 |
|----------------------|---|----------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 13.95/20 |

Kimley **»Horn**

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| GENERAL | | | | \$259,800 | | | | | | | |
| MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$61,000 | \$61,000 | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$91,000 | \$91,000 | | | | | | | |
| PIPE REMOVAL | 3,060 | LF | \$30 | \$91,800 | | | | | | | |
| REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 16 | EA | \$1,000 | \$16,000 | | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE | | | | \$2,916,975 | | | | | | | |
| 15" CONC. PIPE | 165 | LF | \$135 | \$22,275 | | | | | | | |
| 18" CONC. PIPE | 185 | LF | \$140 | \$25,900 | | | | | | | |
| 24" CONC. PIPE | 285 | LF | \$145 | \$41,325 | | | | | | | |
| 30" CONC. PIPE | 575 | LF | \$175 | \$100,625 | | | | | | | |
| 36" CONC. PIPE | 40 | LF | \$215 | \$8,600 | | | | | | | |
| 42" CONC. PIPE | 140 | LF | \$290 | \$40,600 | | | | | | | |
| 48" CONC. PIPE | 95 | LF | \$310 | \$29,450 | | | | | | | |
| 54" CONC. PIPE | 1,000 | LF | \$420 | \$420,000 | | | | | | | |
| 60" CONC. PIPE | 65 | LF | \$500 | \$32,500 | | | | | | | |
| 66" CONC. PIPE | 50 | LF | \$50 | \$2,500 | | | | | | | |
| 7' x 7' CONC. BOX CULVERT | 1,330 | LF | \$1,500 | \$1,995,000 | | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 20 | EA | \$3,000 | \$60,000 | | | | | | | |
| DITCH EXCAVATION | 3,455 | LF | \$40 | \$138,200 | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$3,176,800 | | | | | | | |
| INCIDENTALS | | | | \$953,200 | | | | | | | |
| EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$317,700 | \$317,700 | | | | | | | |
| TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$254,200 | \$254,200 | | | | | | | |
| UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$381,300 | \$381,300 | | | | | | | |
| PROPERTY ACQUISITION | | | | \$317,700 | | | | | | | |
| DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$317,700 | \$317,700 | | | | | | | |
| DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$635,500 | | | | | | | |
| ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$476,600 | \$476,600 | | | | | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$158,900 | \$158,900 | | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | | | | \$953,100 | | | | | | | |
| CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$953,100 | \$953,100 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$6,036,300 | | | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #6 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEICHT | S | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | \M/*C | NOTES | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|-------|--|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES | |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. | |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 1.05 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Vivian Rd and Quail Dr. | |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. | |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. | |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7.6 | 5/10 | | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #6 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|-------|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 0 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural looding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non- structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 0/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | _ | 7 65/20 |
|----------------------|---|---------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 7.05/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| | PRIORITY | AREA #6 - ALT | 1 | | REDIENCE |
|----------|---|---------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| • | DESCRIPTION ES | | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| GENERA | - | | | | \$40,600 |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$6,000 | \$6,000 |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$9,000 | \$9,000 |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 620 | LF | \$30 | \$18,600 |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 7 | EA | \$1,000 | \$7,000 |
| DRAINAG | E | | | | \$241,650 |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 525 | LF | \$290 | \$152,250 |
| | 48" CONC. PIPE | 100 | LF | \$310 | \$31,000 |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 7 | EA | \$3,000 | \$21,000 |
| | DITCH EXCAVATION | 935 | LF | \$40 | \$37,400 |
| CONSTRU | JCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$282,300 |
| INCIDENT | ALS | | | | \$84,800 |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$28,300 | \$28,300 |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$22,600 | \$22,600 |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$33,900 | \$33,900 |
| PROPERT | Y ACQUISITION | | | | \$28,300 |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$28,300 | \$28,300 |
| DESIGN 8 | CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$56,600 |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$42,400 | \$42,400 |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$14,200 | \$14,200 |
| CONTING | ENCY | | | | \$84,700 |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$84,700 | \$84,700 |
| TOTAL C | DNSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$536,700 |
| | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #6 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | S | CORING SCALE DESCRIPTI | SCORE | W/*C | NOTES | |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|-------|--|
| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 6 | 0.9 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Vivian Rd, Quail Dr, and Heidi Dr. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7.5 | 5/10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #6 - ALT 2

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|-------|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 0 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 0 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 0/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 7 5/00 |
|----------------------|---|--------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 7.5/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #6 - ALT 2

| | | | 2 | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| GENERAL | | | | | \$50,600 |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$10,000 | \$10,000 |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$15,000 | \$15,000 |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 620 | LF | \$30 | \$18,600 |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 7 | EA | \$1,000 | \$7,000 |
| DRAINAGE | | | | | \$451,550 |
| | 42" CONC. PIPE | 1,355 | LF | \$290 | \$392,950 |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 10 | EA | \$3,000 | \$30,000 |
| | DITCH EXCAVATION | 715 | LF | \$40 | \$28,600 |
| CONSTRU | CTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$502,200 |
| INCIDENT | ALS | | | | \$150,800 |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$50,300 | \$50,300 |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$40,200 | \$40,200 |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$60,300 | \$60,300 |
| PROPERT | YACQUISITION | | | | \$50,300 |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$50,300 | \$50,300 |
| DESIGN & | CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$100,600 |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$75,400 | \$75,400 |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$25,200 | \$25,200 |
| CONTING | ENCY | | | | \$150,700 |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$150,700 | \$150,700 |
| TOTAL CO | INSTRUCTION COST | | | | \$954,600 |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

Kimley **»Horn**

PRELIMINARY SELECTION CRITERIA (PSC) PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN



PRIORITY AREA #7 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | | | SCORE | W/*C | NOTES |
|--|---|--------|--|---|--|-------|------|--|
| CRITERIA | | | 0-3 | 4-7 | 8-10 | SCORE | W 3 | NOTES |
| Permitting and Compliance | Extent of environmental state/federal regulatory approvals that are required and from how many different agencies | 10% | Involves many and/or complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves some and/or less complex environmental state/federal agency approvals. | Involves few to no environmental state/federal agency approvals. | 7 | 0.7 | It is anticipated that moderate effort will be required for impacting wetlands. Improvements will also require SCDOT encroachment permit. |
| Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to citizens' access to their neighborhood or community assets includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 15% | Causes permanent impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to access of neighborhood or community facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not inhibit access to neighborhood or community facility and/or has short construction duration. | 7 | 1.05 | Temporarily impacts access to residential areas along Forest Acres Dr. |
| Non-Residential Community Disruption | Adverse impacts to non-residential streets as well as access to a place of business or other non-residential facility includes the nature (temporary or permanent) and duration of impacts. | 10% | Causes permanent impacts to non-residential streets, access of business, or non- residential facility (0 points). | Causes temporary impacts to non- residential streets, access of business, or non-residential facility and/or has longer construction duration. | Does not impact non- residential streets nor inhibits access of business or non- residential facility and/or has short construction duration. | 10 | 1 | |
| Operation and Maintenance | O&M requirements of the alternative to maintain successful operation and extend longevity of the proposed infrastructure. | 35% | Involves significant maintenance procedures and/or difficult access. | | Involves typical maintenance procedures and easy access. | 8 | 2.8 | Involves pipe and open channel improvements, which are potential maintenance items. Pipes and open channels involve typical maintenance and easy access. |
| Land Acquisition/ Available Easements | The acquisition of land that is required for implementation of the alternative may require standard easements for infrastructure or entire parcels and could include public or private property. | 30% | Requires extensive acquisition (entire parcels) from private land owners. | Requires some land acquisition (easements) from public and/or private land owners. | Requires minimal land acquisition from publicly/privately owned land. | 7 | 2.1 | Acquisistion from multiple private land owners for drainage easements. |
| TOTAL PSC SCORE | | 100% | | | | 7.65 | /10 | |

Kimley **»Horn**

PROJECT PERFORMANCE CRITERIA (PPC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN PRIORITY AREA #7 - ALT 1

| CRITERIA | DEFINITION | WEIGHT | SCORING SCALE DESCRIPTIONS | SCORE | W*S | NOTES |
|---------------------|--|--------|--|-------|------|---|
| CIVIC IMPACT | | 20% | | | 2 | |
| Flood Reports | Documented citizen complaints addressed by project. Reports occurring only during a major storm event (i.e. hurricane and/or nor'easter) will not be considered. | 20% | If the project resolves flood complaints, it receives 10 points. If the project does not resolve any flood reports, it receives 0 points. | | 2 | Resolves one documented Forest Acres Dr. Other documented report involves flooding attributed to Big Swamp Branch floodplain. |
| FLOOD MITIGATION | | 80% | | | 1 | |
| Non-Structural | Reduction in non-structural flooding experienced on private property. This will include flooding experienced by agricultural fields. | 10% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known non-structural flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce non-structural flooding, it receives 0 points. | 10 | 1 | Resolves flooding reported in backyard by properties along Forest Acres Dr. |
| Habitable Structure | Reduction in flooding experienced by habitable structures. | 50% | If the project is anticipated to reduce known flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce flooding experienced by habitable structures, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Streets | Reduction in flooding experienced by public streets. | 15% | If the project reduces known street flooding, it receives 10 points. If the project does not reduce street flooding, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| Additional Benefits | Projects that provide improvements to upstream or downstream established Priority Areas. | 5% | If the project improves an upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 10 points. If the project does not improve any upstream or downstream Priority Area, it receives 0 points. | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL PPC SCORE | | 100% | | | 3/10 | |

| TOTAL COMBINED SCORE | | 40.05/00 |
|----------------------|---|----------|
| (PSC + PPC) | = | 10.65/20 |

Kimley »Horn

OPINION OF PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST (OPCC)



PAMPLICO STORMWATER MASTER PLAN

| | PRIORITY | AREA #1 - ALI | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| | DESCRIPTION | ESTIMATED QUANTITY | UNIT | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
| GENERAL | • | | | | \$16,950 |
| | MOBILIZATION | 1 | LS | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| | CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING | 1 | LS | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| | PIPE REMOVAL | 265 | LF | \$30 | \$7,950 |
| | REMOVE EXISTING STRUCTURE | 4 | EA | \$1,000 | \$4,000 |
| DRAINAG | E | | | | \$68,350 |
| | 18" CONC. PIPE | 40 | LF | \$140 | \$5,600 |
| | 24" CONC. PIPE | 230 | LF | \$145 | \$33,350 |
| | DRAINAGE STRUCTURES | 4 | EA | \$3,000 | \$12,000 |
| | DITCH EXCAVATION | 435 | LF | \$40 | \$17,400 |
| CONSTRU | JCTION SUBTOTAL | | | | \$85,300 |
| INCIDENT | ALS | | | | \$25,800 |
| | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$8,600 | \$8,600 |
| | TRAFFIC CONTROL | 8% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$6,900 | \$6,900 |
| | UTILITY RELOCATION | 12% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$10,300 | \$10,300 |
| PROPERT | Y ACQUISITION | | | | \$8,600 |
| | DRAINAGE/TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT* | 10% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$8,600 | \$8,600 |
| DESIGN 8 | CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | | | | \$17,100 |
| | ENGINEERING DESIGN | 15% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$12,800 | \$12,800 |
| | CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT | 5% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$4,300 | \$4,300 |
| CONTING | ENCY | | | | \$25,600 |
| | CONTINGENCY | 30% | % OF CN SUBTOTAL | \$25,600 | \$25,600 |
| TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST \$162,400 | | | | | |
| Madaas | | | | | |

Notes:

The Consultant has no control over the cost of labor, materials, equipment, or over the Contractor's methods of determining prices or over competitive bidding or market conditions. Opinions of probable costs provided herein are based on the information known to Consultant at this time and represent only the Consultant's judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry. The Consultant cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids, or actual construction costs will not vary from its opinions of probable costs.

ATTACHMENT

EXISTING CONDITIONS SURVEY



Kimley **»Horn**





STORM SURVEY PREPARED FOR KIMLEY-HORN PAMPLICO, SC

SITE MAP Not to scale



<u>LEGEND</u>

| RCP | REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE |
|-------|---------------------------|
| HDPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE |
| MH | MANHOLE |
| NG | NATURAL GROUND |
| CLDIT | CENTER LINE DITCH |
| SLPB | BOTTOM OF SLOPE |
| SLPT | TOP OF SLOPE |
| GRI | GRATE |
| BB | BOTTOM OF BOX |

<u>SURVEY NOTES</u>

 THIS MAP PREPARED FOR KIMLEY-HORN, REPRESENTS A SPECIFIC SCOPE OF SERVICES, THERE MAY BE OTHER MATTERS OF TITLE, BURDENING, OR FAVORING PARCELS THAT ARE SHOWN HEREON.
 THE FIELD MEASUREMENTS FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF PROJECT CONTROL AND COLLECTION OF DATA POINTS IS BASED ON USING THE VRS NETWORK WITH A ROOT MEAN SQUARE ERROR OF 0.07 FEET AT A 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL.

3. THE HORIZONTAL DATUM FOR THIS PROJECT IS THE NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983, 2011 (NAD83 (2011), SOUTH CAROLINA.

4. UNITS OF MEASURE FOR THIS SURVEY ARE IN INTERNATIONAL FEET.

 THIS SURVEY DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A TITLE SEARCH BY THE SURVEYOR.
 LAND SURVEYORS, AS LICENSED PROFESSIONALS BY THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, ARE NOT EXPERTS IN THE IDENTIFICATION OF WETLANDS, CEMETERIES OR BURIAL GROUNDS, ITEMS OF

HISTORICAL OR CULTURAL SIGNIFICANCE; THEREFORE EXCEPTION IS TAKEN TO ANY SUCH MATTERS
WHICH MAY EXIST ON THIS PROPERTY.
7. FIELD SURVEY DATA COLLECTED FEBRUARY 2022 THROUGH MAY 2022.

SURVEY IS VALID ONLY IF PRINT HAS ORIGINAL SEAL & SIGNATURE OF SURVEYOR.

 THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE PERSON, PERSONS, OR ENTITY NAMED IN THE TITLE BLOCK. THIS SURVEY DOES NOT EXTEND TO ANY UNNAMED PERSON, PERSONS, OR ENTITY WITH THE EXPRESS CERTIFICATION BY THE SURVEYOR NAMING SAID PERSON, PERSONS OR ENTITY.
 NO EVIDENCE OF CEMETERIES, GRAVE SITES, OR BURIAL GROUNDS WERE DISCLOSED IN THE

10. NO EVIDENCE OF CEMETERIES, GRAVE SITES, OR BURIAL GROUNDS WERE DISCLOSED IN THE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO OR OBTAINED BY THE SURVEYOR OR OBSERVED IN THE PROCESS OF CONDUCTING THE FIELDWORK.

11. ANY ROADS SHOWN IN MAP ARE BASED FROM AERIAL VIEW WITHOUT ANY STATEMENT ON ACCURACY. SAID ROADS ARE NOT OF SURVEY QUALITY

12. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED IN DETERMINING ANY BOUNDARY OR RIGHT OF WAY

| | SHEET 1 | 0F 9 | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| | STORM SURVEY PREPARED FOR | KIMLEY-HORN | FLORENCE COUNTY, SC | |
| | | | | |
| PROJECT: PAMPLICO | JOB NUMBER: 1022068650 DATE: 5-20-2022 | SCALE: 1"=50' SURVEYOR: JAMES D. WILLSON TECHNICIAN: CHRISTIAN D. CARSWELL | PARTYCHIEF: DAVID ROGERS | |
| T | 6904 N. Main Street Suite 102 | Columbia, SC 29203 Ofc: 803.764.1902 | email: Into@sam.biz | |
| | | | | |

To Kimley—Horn:

I hereby state that to the best of my professional knowledge, information, and belief, the survey shown herein was made in accordance with the requirements of the Standards of Practice Manual for Surveying in South Carolina, and meets or exceeds the requirements for a Class B survey as specified therein;

James D Willson CN=James D Wills

Reason: I am the author of this documer Date: 2022.05.23 11:12:44-04'00' ILLSON DATE

JAMES D. WILLSON REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR NO. 17230 – STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA



| HDPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE |
|-------|---------------------------|
| MH | MANHOLE |
| NG | NATURAL GROUND |
| CLDIT | CENTER LINE DITCH |
| SLPB | BOTTOM OF SLOPE |
| SLPT | TOP OF SLOPE |
| GRI | GRATE |
| BB | BOTTOM OF BOX |
| | |















| RCP | REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE |
|-------|--------------------------|
| HDPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLEN |
| MH | MANHOLE |
| NG | NATURAL GROUND |
| CLDIT | CENTER LINE DITCH |
| SLPB | BOTTOM OF SLOPE |
| SLPT | TOP OF SLOPE |
| GRI | GRATE |
| BB | BOTTOM OF BOX |
| | |





| RCP | REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE |
|-------|---------------------------|
| HDPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE |
| MH | MANHOLE |
| NG | NATURAL GROUND |
| CLDIT | CENTER LINE DITCH |
| SLPB | BOTTOM OF SLOPE |
| SLPT | TOP OF SLOPE |
| GRI | GRATE |
| BB | BOTTOM OF BOX |
| | |

| SHEET 7 OF 9 |
|---|
| STORM SURVEY prepared for KIMLEY-HORN florence county, sc |
| |
| PROJECT: PAMPLICO JOB NUMBER: 1022068650 DATE: 5-20-2022 SCALE: 1"=50' SCALE: 1"=50' SURVEYOR: JAMES D. WILLSON TECHNICIAN: CHRISTIAN D. CARSWELL PARTYCHIEF: DAVID ROGERS |
| 6904 N. Main Street Suite 102 Columbia, SC 29203 Ofc: 803.764.1902 email: info@sam.biz |

__RCP 15"/GRATED DROP INLET 78.27

RCP 15"/GRATED DROP INLET

| RCP | REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE |
|-------|---------------------------|
| HDPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE |
| MH | MANHOLE |
| NG | NATURAL GROUND |
| CLDIT | CENTER LINE DITCH |
| SLPB | BOTTOM OF SLOPE |
| SLPT | TOP OF SLOPE |
| GRI | GRATE |
| BB | BOTTOM OF BOX |
| | |

FROM FIELD NOTES: 15" IN COMING FROM "SILT FIELD" IN OLD MAYORS YARD THEN DRAINS TO BOX.

RCP 15" INLET_____ 62.89

FLOW EL: 66.11' 0.85 TOP OF BOX EL: 66.96'-FLOW EL: 66.04' TOP OF BOX EL: 66.89'-MH CONCRETE SLAB/OPEN THROAT DROP INLET____67.04

> RCP 24" OUTLET_ 62.94 RCP 24"/OPEN PIPE_ 62.09

FLOW EL: 66.29' TOP OF BOX EL: 66.94'-

RCP 18"/OPEN PIPE_ 63.56 RCP 18"/OPEN PIPE_/ 64.08







| RCP | REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE |
|------|---------------------------|
| IDPE | HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE |
| ЛН | MANHOLE |
| IG | NATURAL GROUND |
| LDIT | CENTER LINE DITCH |
| SLPB | BOTTOM OF SLOPE |
| SLPT | TOP OF SLOPE |
| GRI | GRATE |
| BB | BOTTOM OF BOX |
| | |

೧ ೧

ОF

SHEET