AMENDMENT TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT

AGENCY: SC Office of Resilience
PROJECT NAME: USS Yorktown Environmental Assessment and Remediation
PROJECT NUMBER: D30-N021-MJ

NAME OF FIRM: Research Planning Inc.

This Contract is changed as follows: (Insert description of change in space provided below.)
The project team will be on-site to evaluate contaminant removal alternatives with hazardous material removal contractors. The Environmental Document and permit requirements will be prepared and subntitted. The plans and specifications for bid will be prepared.

## ADJUSTMENTS IN THE CONTRACT SUM:

## 1. BASIC \& SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES FEE:


2. ADDITIONAL SERVICES FEE:

Contract Fee Prior to This Amendment Change in Fee Per This Amendment
Total Revised Additional Services Fee:

3. REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES:

Contract Fee Prior to This Amendment
Change in Amount Per This Amendment
Total Revised Reimbursable Expenses:

4. TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT:

Total Contract Prior to This Amendment
Total Amendment Amount
Total Revised Contract Amount:


## AGENCY ACCEPTANCE AND CERTIFICATION

I certify that the Agency lias authorized, unencumbered funds available for obligation to this contract.


AGENCY: SC Office of Resilience
PROJECT NAME: USS Yorktown Environmental Assessment
PROJECT NUMBER: D30-N021-MJ

NAME OF FIRM: Research Planing lac.

This Contract is requested to be changed as follows: (ha writ description of change in space provided below;
The project team will be onsite to evaluate comaminam removal allematives with hazardous material removal contractors. The Inviroumental Document and permit requirements wild te prepared and submitted. The plans and specifications fer hid will be prepared.

## ADJUSTMENTS EN THE CONTRACT SIM:

1. REQLIESTED CHANGE TO BASIC \& SIPPLEMENTAL SERVICES FEE:

Change in Fee Per I his Amendment


ALE ACCEPTANCE:

Print Name of A/E Representative: Jacqueline Michel, Proxidem

Instruction to $\mathbf{A} / E:$ Attach documentation as need to justify the requested change to the contract and submit to Agency.

## RPI Research Planning, Inc.

1121 Park Street Columbia, South Carolina, USA 29201 PH: (803) 256-7322 FAX: (803) 254-6445

26 April 2023
Karen Olsen
South Carolina Office of Resilience
Columbia, SC
Re: USS Yorktown Environmental Assessment Scope of Services and Cost Estimates for Task 2.5, Task 2.6, and Task 3

Dear Ms. Olsen:
We are requesting an amendment to our contract D30-N021-MJ for the USS Yorktown Environmental Assessment to complete the remaining tasks, as described below.

## Task 2.5 Updated Mitigation Cost Estimate

We will provide a cost estimate for the removal of the fluids/sludges in association with the recommendations of the field report unless otherwise directed, including:

- the removal of the heavy fuel oil from structural tanks containing significant quantities;
- cleaning of the spills in the machinery spaces; above and including the 4th deck;
- removal of the hydrocarbons from non-structural tanks in public and administrative areas; and
- de-water and disposal of fluids from structural tanks integral to hull plating.


## Task 2.6 Submit Final Study Report

We will revise the study report submitted under Task 2.4 based on comments received from SCOR. We will also include the cost estimate completed under Task 2.5. This final report will provide the basis for the Environmental Assessment and Permits (Task 3.1) and the stakeholder outreach (Task 1).

## Task 3.1 Environmental Report and Permits

The RPI Team will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321, as amended) and the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) NEPA Implementing Regulations codified under 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508. Overall, the EA will include detailed descriptions of the proposed action and alternatives, affected environment, environmental consequences, mitigation measures, alternatives comparison, and supporting documentation in appendices, as well as all maps and figures necessary for analysis or publication. We will also prepare a Notice of Availability (NOA) informing the public that an agency has issued a Draft EA for the project and that the EA is available for public review and comment. Assuming that the EA process determines that the project will not have significant environmental impacts, we will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

## RPI Research Planning, Inc.

Concurrent with the NEPA process discussed above, we will conduct Section 106 and Section 110 coordination (both part of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended), as required by the appropriate State and Federal agencies. The stakeholder meetings proposed as part of the NEPA process will be used to fulfil the necessary consulting party process that is required under Section 106. We will further prepare a Determination of Effects memorandum that outlines the project's scope of work and addresses the potential for the project to have an effect or adverse effect on the National Historic Landmark.

Task 3.2 Assist with Preparation of Bid Documents
We will prepare the bid document in compliance with SC OSE Manual, specifically Chapter 5 (Design/Construction Documents and Construction Standards).

## Cost Proposal

The table below shows the total RPI cost by task, for a total request of $\$ 269,860$.


Sincerely,


Jacqueline Michel, Ph.D.
President

# USS Yorktown Environmental Assessment Scope of Services <br> and Cost Estimates for Task 2.5, Task 2.6, and Task 3 <br> Submitted to: South Carolina Office of Resilience 

Submitted by:
Research Planning, Inc.
26 April 2023

## Introduction

The worksheets at the end of this document show the costs for each task based on the assumptions of the number of days/hours needed to complete this scope.

## Task 2.5 Updated Mitigation Cost Estimate

Based on the findings, we will provide a cost estimate for the removal of the fluids/sludges IAW with the recommendations of the field report unless otherwise directed, including:

- the removal of the heavy fuel oil from structural tanks containing significant quantities;
- cleaning of the spills in the machinery spaces; above and including the 4th deck;
- removal of the hydrocarbons from non-structural tanks in public and administrative areas; and
- de-water and disposal of fluids from structural tanks integral to hull plating.

Cost information to include:

- Resource specifications
- Suitable vendor identification
- Regional market values for services and equipment

The work to mitigate/remediate the contaminants only would be based on access to structurally intact areas reported on T\&T's survey report.

## Task 2.6 Submit Final Study Report

The RPI team will revise the study report submitted under Task 2.4 based on comments received from SCOR. We will also include the cost estimate completed under Task 2.5. This final report will provide the basis for the Environmental Assessment and Permits (Task 3.1) and the stakeholder outreach (Task 1).

## Task 3.1 Environmental Report and Permits

JMT will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) consistent with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 ( 42 U.S.C. 4321, as amended) and the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) NEPA Implementing Regulations codified under 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508. Purpose and Need will be developed, recognizing April 2022 revisions to CEQ. JMT will develop tables of alternatives for
discussion and comparison. Screening criteria will be used to evaluate whether an alternative is reasonable; evaluate various alternatives against the screening criteria (including the chosen measures), and identify those alternatives found to be reasonable; identify those alternatives found not to be reasonable; and for the latter, establish the basis for this finding. Alternatives considered but found not to be reasonable are not evaluated in this Environmental Document. Overall, the EA will include detailed descriptions of the proposed action and alternatives, affected environment, environmental consequences, mitigation measures, alternatives comparison, and supporting documentation in appendices, as well as all maps and figures necessary for analysis or publication. JMT will also prepare a Notice of Availability (NOA) informing the public that an agency has issued a Draft EA for the project and that the EA is available for public review and comment.

Assuming that the EA process determines that the project will not have significant environmental impacts, JTM will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). A FONSI is a document that presents the reasons why an agency has concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts projected to occur upon implementation of an action.

Concurrent with the NEPA process discussed above, JMT staff will conduct Section 106 and Section 110 coordination (both part of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended), as required by the appropriate State and Federal agencies. The stakeholder meetings proposed as part of the NEPA process will be used to fulfil the necessary consulting party process that is required under Section 106. We will further prepare a Determination of Effects memorandum that outlines the project's scope of work and addresses the potential for the project to have an effect or adverse effect on the National Historic Landmark.

In addition to the services outlined above, JMT will prepare the following environmental documentation, which will be included as appendices to the Environmental Document:

- Natural Resources Technical Memorandum described Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS), biological and geological setting of the project vicinity (in lieu of the WOTUS/Critical Line delineation since there are currently no activities planned outside of the vessel)
- Biological Assessment evaluating potential impacts to federally-listed endangered species under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Atmospheric Administration - National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA-Fisheries)
- Consistency with Coastal Zone Management Act

JMT will identify required environmental permits or other agreements which would need to be obtained in order to complete the proposed action at the federal, state, and local level. Example environmental permit mediums to be considered include, but are not limited to: air emission, wastewater, stormwater, CZMA, asbestos and/or LBP removal, hazardous waste, C\&D waste, historic structures, and archeology. While JMT is not being requested to obtain such identified permits under this SOW, they will be listed in an appendix within the EA. This listing, in tabular form, will also identify key requirements of each permit, for both construction and operation (if different).

## Task 3.2 Assist with Preparation of Bid Documents

T\&T will work with JMT, supporting efforts to incorporate GIS referencing and bid document compliance with SC OSE requirements. JMT has budgeted five days ( 40 hrs ) of staff time for a Senior Engineer familiar with the OSE requirement to assist T\&T in ensuring that bid drawing are consistent with the OSE Manual, specifically Chapter 5 (Design/Construction Documents and Construction Standards).

## Assumptions/Exclusions for Task 3.2

- Engineering design of the mitigative measures for removing contaminants from the Yorktown is excluded from this Scope of Work (SOW).
- Compliance relative to Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is excluded from this sow.
- Based on the assumption that mitigative measures will be internal to the ship and adjacent upland areas (i.e. will not interact with aquatic resources), the following are excluded from this SOW: WOTUS delineation and associated submittal of a Jurisdictional Determination Request (JD); OCRM Critical Line survey; and NOAA-NMFS Essential Fish Habitat Assessment.


## Cost Proposal

The following pages show the total RPI costs and the details for the costs for T\&T and JMT.

| RPI Labor Costs |  | Task 2.5 | Task 2.6 | Task 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Updated | Submit | Environmental |  |  |
|  |  | Cost Estimate | Study | Report \& Permits |  |  |
| Personnel Classification |  |  |  | Bid Documents | Person-hours | Cost |
| Project Manager | \$260.00 | 24 | 60 | 60 | 144 | \$37,440 |
| Ecologist | \$110.00 |  |  | 16 | 16 | \$1,760 |
| Editor | \$88.00 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 48 | \$4,224 |
| Data Manager | \$95.00 |  | 24 | 40 | 64 | \$6,080 |
| GIS Manager | \$140.00 |  | 24 | 16 | 40 | \$5,600 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Hours |  | 32 | 124 | 156 | 312 |  |
| RPI Labor Cost |  | \$6,944 | \$22,648 | \$25,512 |  | \$55,104 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subcontractor | Unit Cost | Task 2.5 | Task 2.6 | Task 3 | 4 |  |
| JMT Costs |  |  |  | 80,078 |  | \$80,078 |
| T\&T Costs |  | 77,952 | 18,000 | 28,500 |  | \$124,452 |
| Total Subcontractor Costs |  | \$77,952 | \$18,000 | \$108,578 |  | \$204,530 |
| Subcontractor Multiplier 5\% |  |  |  |  | TMKK, | \$10,226 |


| Total Project Budget - RPI | \$6,944 | \$22,648 | \$25,512 | \$269,860 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| T\&T Labor Costs <br> Personnel Classification | \%rame | Task <br> Daily <br> Rate | Task 25 <br> Updated <br> Cost Estimate | Task 26 Subinit Study | $\begin{gathered} \text { Task } 32 \\ \text { Bid } \\ \text { Docaments } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Person-days | Labor Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Manager |  | \$2,100 00 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 24 | \$50,400 |
| Salvage Foreman |  | \$1.800 00 |  |  |  | 0 | 50 |
| Salvage Technician |  | \$1.500 00 | 14 | 5 | 5 | 24 | \$36,000 |
| Naval Archilect ! |  | \$2.100 00 |  |  | 5 | 5 | \$10,500 |
| Naval Archilect II |  | \$2,10000 |  |  |  | 0 | so |
| Cost Controller |  | \$1.20000 |  |  |  | 0 | so |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | \$0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Days |  |  | 28 | 10 | 15 | 53 |  |
| Labor Cost |  |  | \$50.400 | \$18,000 | \$28.500 |  | \$96,900 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reimbursable |
| T\&T Reimbursable Costs |  | Unit Cost | Task 2.5 | Task 26 | Task 32 |  | Costs |
| Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | so |
| Flights | 2 | \$72400 | 1,448 |  |  |  | \$1.448 |
| Lodging - 3 nights | 6 | \$24800 | 1.488 |  |  |  | \$1,488 |
| Per Diem/ Per person -4 days | 8 | 57900 | 632 |  |  |  | \$632 |
| Car Rental - 4 days | 4 | \$11000 | 440 |  |  |  | \$440 |
| Contractors- Hazmat Internatinal |  |  | 23,544 |  |  |  | \$23,544 |
| Total Reimbursables |  |  | \$27,552 | S0 | so | W, | \$27,552 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Task 1 Budget - T\&T |  |  | \$77,952 | \$18,000 | S28,500 | \3xar\| | \$124,452 |


| JMT Labor Costs | Task <br> Hourly <br> Rate | Task 3 <br> Environmental |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Report \& Permits | Labor |
|  |  | Bid Documents |  |
| Personnel Classification |  | Hours | Cost |
| Project Manager | \$189.49 | 54 | \$10,232 |
| Senior Environmental Scientist | \$141.97 | 228 | \$32,368 |
| NEPA Planner | \$125.63 | 51 | \$6,407 |
| Senior Cultural Reource Specialist | \$219.78 | 37 | \$8,132 |
| GIS Technician II | \$74.31 | 26 | \$1,932 |
| Senior Engineer | \$178.20 | 40 | \$7,128 |
| Adminstrative Assistant | \$71.28 | 22 | \$1.568 |
| Senior Architectural Historian | \$166.32 | 58 | \$9.647 |
| Total Hours |  | 516 |  |
| JMT Labor Cost |  |  | \$77,414 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Costs | Cost | Quantity |  |
| Ground Transportation - mileage | 0.66 | 460 | $\$ 304$ |
| Airfare | 500 | 1 | $\$ 500$ |
| Hotel | 160 | 6 | $\$ 960$ |
| Meals | 8 | 50 | $\$ 400$ |
| Travel | 1 | 200 | $\$ 200$ |
| Rental Car | 1 | 300 | $\$ 300$ |
| Total Direct Costs |  |  | $\$ 2.664$ |


| Total Project Budget - JMT |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

